

LAKESIDE COMMUNITY COUNCIL MEETING -- February 2010

DATE: February 23, 2010 TIME: 7:00pm

PLACE: Lakeside Sewer District Meeting Room; 253 Bierney Creek Road in Lakeside

NOTICED: DIL Daybook; Posters in the Post Office, Library, and posted to the County P&Z website "Calendar Events" page and the LNPC website "Calendar" page.

AGENDA:

1. Call to order
2. Agenda approval
3. Sign-in sheet
4. Approval of past meeting minutes:
 - a. January 26, 2009
5. Committee Reports or Guest Reports Requested by the Council (listed below, if a representative is available to present information)
 - a. Lakeside Neighborhood Plan
 - b. Public comment – Lakeside Plan
 - c. Approve any additional changes to Lakeside Plan
 - d. Consider forwarding Plan to county for adoption
6. Official county business items (listed below, if any are scheduled)
 - a. None received to-date.
7. Procedural discussions or items (listed below, if any are scheduled)
 - a. Update on upcoming vacant seats & May 2010 election
 - b. LCC Bylaws
8. Public Comment (any matters not on agenda)
9. Meeting adjourned

MINUTES: This meeting was taped. A copy of the CD of the proceedings can be obtained from Flathead County's Planning and Zoning Department.

Attendance:

- Council Members Attending: Barb Miller, Keith Brown, Rex Boller, Brent Hall, Greg Schoh, Mike Wilson (QUORUM)
- Council Members not in Attendance: Janet Heinze
- P&Z Staff Attending: Andrew Hagemeyer
- Public: Janice Stern (Friends of Little Bitterroot), Mayre Flowers (CBF), Jasmine Linabary (WSN), Anne Shaw Moran (DNRC), Debbie Spaulding, Jim Clark, Roger Sullivan, Bill Eisenlohr, Howard & Fran Ruby, Brian Young, Trevor Schaefer, Terry Leison, John Mark Creamer, Ron (Don?) Inconato, Lona Santana, Steve Rosso, Arla Rosso, Richard Williams

1. Meeting called to order 7pm.
2. Agenda approved.
3. Sign-in sheet passed for attendance.
4. Brent moved, Gregg seconded and motion unanimously approved to approve meeting minutes for January 26, 2010
5. Committee Reports: LCC approved all changes up to the 1/24/2010 meeting.

- a. Suggested changes received between then and this meeting (each change was read in the meeting; documents are filed with meeting minutes and copies can be obtained from the Planning & Zoning Office):
- i. Addition of text to the Schools Section received from the school superintendent.
 - ii. Suggested changes from Spring Creek residents regarding description of Lakefront Residential Designation and maybe strengthen language that Plan is not intended to impact any existing zoning districts
 - iii. Add 2009 real estate stats in Appendix J – this suggestion was withdrawn by the submitter.
 - iv. Flathead Lakers letter suggesting less density.
 - v. Jo Bangeman – pointed out a typo and asked for stronger language regarding developers following development guidelines; questioned density along lakefront properties not already developed or zoned.
 - vi. Letter from David Greer of Plum Creek not asking for any changes but expressing support for the Plan.
 - vii. Committee agreed to motion on all these once Council & public comment were complete
 - viii. Barb summarized information she received attending a 2-day seminar on water quality in Kalispell with some comparisons of Lake Tahoe to Flathead Valley.
 - ix. Barb quoted the following from the Growth Policy (section 9, Part 3, on p. 135), regarding Neighborhood Plans' compliance:
“Designated Land Use Map (See enclosed map).
 This map depicts areas of Flathead County that are legally designated for particular land uses. The areas include zoning districts and neighborhood plans. Further information on particular land uses in these areas can be obtained by consulting the appropriate zoning regulations or neighborhood plan document. The uses depicted are consistent with the regulations and individual plan documents. This map is a foundation for zoning and neighborhood plans and continues their legal basis. **This map will be changed from time to time to reflect additional zoning districts, map changes and neighborhood plans as they are adopted.”**
- b. Public Comment: The meeting was taped. No attempt is made here to capture any comments verbatim. Copies of the CD can be obtained from Planning & Zoning Department.
- i. Brian Young (Lakeside Res.): does not agree with plan and intends to opt out.
 - ii. Steve Rosso (Rollins Res & QRU Pres.): land use is too dense for Suburban Mixed; should be Rural Residential.
 - iii. Jim Clark (Lakeside): opposed to plan as written.
 1. Growth of 196 families?
 2. Safety/Security reating of 2.85% - how many people responded to question, where are survey results? (NOTED that all results including detail spreadsheet of responses can be obtained from P&Z).
 3. What's the cost of road connecting Bierney Creek to Blacktail
 4. Storm runoff is an issue.
 5. Weekly totals of number of traffic trips not right?
 6. Accurate count of children in schools can't be obtained – should be (NOTED that all info on schools was obtained from school district; LNPC had neither funds nor time for independent attempt at any kind of a census count)

7. Census area versus planning area need to have more accurate counts
 8. Are there term limits for council members (NOTED that each member is elected except one member appointed by the commissioners; all serve 3 year terms; there is no restriction on how many times a member can serve if elected)
 - iv. Roger Sullivan (represents Flathead Lakers) – object to density, especially Suburban Mixed.
 - v. Lona Santana (Lakeside Res.): supportive of plan
 - vi. Mary Flowers (Citizens for Better Flathead): against density
 - vii. Janice Stern (Marion) – Friends of Little Bitterroot - thinks density too high
 - viii. Anne Moran (DNRC) – supports plan for their lands
 - ix. Trevor Schaefer (LNPC member; Lakeside Club): supports plan; recused himself from voting, but he would have voted for it
 - x. Ron Infranado (Big Fork builder): there are ways & technologies to address storm runoff; county needs to become aware of them & incorporate in
 - xi. Terry Leiser (Lakeside resident): developer working with planning is a good thing
 - xii. Bill Eisenlohr (Lakeside Res): supports plan
 - xiii. Mike Wilson (LCC): higher density does not always mean poor lake quality. Poor development even if not dense can be worse. Never will get 100% consensus, but can collaborate toward reaching goals. LCC, P&Z must make sure best practices are being applied.
 - c. Gregg moved, Rex seconded, and passed unanimously to accept changes to schools, & Lakefront Residential designation (5. a. i, ii, and v. above).
 - d. Brent moved, Rex seconded, and motion passed 4 for (Miller, Boller, Hall, Wilson), and 1 against (Schoh), and Chair (Brown), not needing to vote – motion for approving the plan with the above changes and forwarding it to the County for adoption was passed.
6. No official county business.
7. Procedural items
 - a. Vacant LCC seats.
 - i. 3 candidates have filed for candidacy: Bob Berreth, David Fetveit, Gene Shellerud.
 - ii. Barb suggested LCC ask them to the March meeting to speak & advertise the meeting to the public.
8. Public Comment (items not on agenda)
 - a. Howard Ruby declared he felt the Plan was slanted to one developer; Miller (member of LNPC as well as LCC), disagreed and gave examples of the many landowners contacted, interviewed or otherwise providing input.
 - b. Mary Flowers: Electronic Waste Recycling event and a recently held session on disposing of pharmaceuticals.
9. Gregg motioned, Rex seconded and passed unanimously to adjourn at 9:45pm.