
MONDAY, JUNE 25, 2007

The Board of County Commissioners met in continued session at 8:00 o'clock A.M. Chairman Brenneman, Commissioners Hall and Lauman, and Clerk Robinson were present.

12:00 p.m. Commissioner Lauman to Impact Fee Committee meeting @ Earl Bennett Bldg.
4:00 p.m. Commissioner Lauman to Ribbon Cutting @ Hilton Garden Hotel

At 5:00 o'clock P.M., the Board continued the session until 8:00 o'clock A.M. on June 26, 2007.

TUESDAY, JUNE 26, 2007

The Board of County Commissioners met in continued session at 8:00 o'clock A.M. Chairman Brenneman, Commissioners Hall and Lauman, and Clerk Robinson were present.

Chairman Brenneman opened public comment on matters within the Commissions' Jurisdiction, no one present to speak, Chairman Brenneman closed the public comment period.

FINAL PLAT: TRUMBULL CREEK CROSSING

[9:36:15 AM](#)

Members present:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman
Commissioner Gary D. Hall
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman

Others present:

Assistant Michael Pence, Planning & Zoning Director Jeff Harris, Planner Eric Giles, Eric Mulcahy, Drew Hagemeyer, Clerk Kimberly Peacock

Giles reviewed the application submitted by Trumbull Creek Crossing with technical assistance from Sands Surveying for final plat approval of Trumbull Creek Crossing, Phase 1; a subdivision creating 54 residential lots located south of Birch Grove Road, between Trumbull Creek Road and Hwy 2 in Evergreen. Preliminary plat approval was granted on September 15, 2003 with a one year extension granted on September 11, 2006, subject to 17 conditions.

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to approve the SIA for \$1,283,297.00 and final plat for Trumbull Creek Crossing. Commissioner Hall **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Brenneman, Hall and Lauman. Motion carried unanimously.

CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF CORE VALUES & MISSION STATEMENT

[9:51:30 AM](#)

Members present:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman
Commissioner Gary D. Hall
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman

Others present:

Assistant Michael Pence, Library Director Kim Crowley, Clerk Kimberly Peacock

Commissioner Hall made a **motion** to adopt Resolution #2071, the Core Values & Mission Statement for Flathead County. Commissioner Lauman **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Brenneman, Hall and Lauman. Motion carried unanimously.

RESOLUTION NO. 2071

WHEREAS, Flathead County began a program to help improve the performance of the Flathead County Management System and the quality of relationships between Department Managers, in April of 2006;

WHEREAS, an objective that grew out of the program was to develop a mission statement and a set of core values; and

WHEREAS, members of the Departments of County government have met on several occasions and have developed both a mission statement and a set of core values and have recommended that the Board of Commissioners adopt that mission statement and those core values for Flathead County for the following purposes:

- To reflect the shared priorities of the County's personnel;
- To state the operating principles of the County;
- To serve as a training and orientation tool for new County employees;
- To give the "customer" an idea about what to expect from the County; and
- To reinforce the priorities of the County's organizational culture.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, that the Mission Statement and the Core values developed by members of the Departments of County government and set forth on Exhibit A hereto, are hereby adopted.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that each Department will distribute and promote the Mission and Value Statements within the Department.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that each Department will frame and post the Mission and Value Statements in the Department.

Dated this 26th day of June, 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana

By/s/Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By/s/Gary D. Hall
Gary D. Hall, Member

By/s/Dale W. Lauman
Dale W. Lauman, Member

ATTEST:
Paula Robinson, Clerk

By/s/Kimberly A Peacock
Kimberly A. Peacock, Deputy



Flathead County
Mission and Core Values Statement

Our Mission

We provide responsive and accountable services to protect and enhance the Flathead community.

Our Core Values

We are committed to:

- Accountable stewardship of taxpayer resources
- Respectful and responsive communication
- Professional service with quality and integrity
- Proactive and innovative leadership at all levels
- Creating a positive team culture that values all people
- Safety and security throughout the County
- Equal treatment for all

Adopted by the Employees of Flathead County and the Flathead County Board of Commissioners.

PUBLIC HEARING: GRASSHOPPER HILL ROAD, GRAY LANE, LABEL LANE, RIVER FALLS DRIVE, ROGERS LANE, SPENCER HILL DRIVE AND SPENCER TRAIL NAMING

10:00:00 AM

Members present:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman
Commissioner Gary D. Hall
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman

Others present:

Assistant Michael Pence, GIS Addressing Coordinator Pete Wessel, Dan Harmon, Marilyn Harmon, Don Farris, Frank Hanson, Harold Hurlbert, Audrey Hurlbert, Thomas Lutgen, Keri Geldrich, Clerk Kimberly Peacock

Pete Wessel reported on the proposed naming of Grasshopper Hill Road, Gray Lane, Label Lane, River Falls Drive, Rogers Lane, Spencer Hill Drive and Spencer Trail road namings.

Chairman Brenneman opened the public hearing to anyone wishing to speak in favor or opposition to the road namings.

Don Farris spoke in favor of Label Lane road naming.

Frank Hanson, 1423 Rogers Lane spoke about his concern with the possible need to abandon a portion of the road and also questioned continued maintenance on the section of the road that is currently private and will now have the same name as the county road.

Chairman Brenneman said that it would not change anything except the name.

Tom Lutgen, 18 Little Man Drive spoke in favor of changing the road to River Falls Drive.

Keri Geldrich, 2818 Hwy 2 W spoke in opposition to the name change to Gray Lane, which is being changed due to the number of homes on the road. She then said that the address has been that way for over 30 years and that those living in the area do not want their address changed.

Pete Wessel said that the addresses in the area are out of sequence and because of existing houses in the area they can't give consecutive addresses.

No one else rising to speak, Chairman Brenneman closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to adopt road naming Resolutions #2062 - #2068. Commissioner Hall **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Brenneman, Hall and Lauman. Motion carried unanimously.

RESOLUTION NO. 2062

WHEREAS, Flathead County has proposed to name a private road generally running easterly off North Hill Road and located in the NE4NE4 Sec.17, the NW4NW4 Sec.16, and the S2SW4 Sec.9, Township 28 N, Range 22 W, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana.

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, held a public hearing on June 26, 2007 concerning the proposal, after publication and mailing of notice thereof on June 16, 2007 and June 23, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, has determined that the road should be named **GRASSHOPPER HILL ROAD**.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, that the private road generally running easterly off North Hill Road and located in the NE4NE4 Sec.17, the NW4NW4 Sec.16, and the S2SW4 Sec.9, Township 28 N, Range 22 W, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana, should be, and it hereby is, renamed **GRASSHOPPER HILL ROAD**.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the naming of **GRASSHOPPER HILL ROAD** shall be effective on June 26, 2007.

Dated this 26th day of June, 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana

By /s/Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By /s/Dale W. Lauman
Dale W. Lauman, Member

By /s/Gary D. Hall
Gary D. Hall, Member

ATTEST:
Paula Robinson, Clerk

By /s/Kimberly A Peacock
Kimberly A. Peacock, Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 2063

WHEREAS, Flathead County has proposed to name a private road generally running easterly & northerly of US Highway 2 W and located in the E2NE4 Section 20 and in the W2NW4 of Section 21, Township 28 North, Range 22 West, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana .

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, held a public hearing on June 26, 2007 concerning the proposal, after publication and mailing of notice thereof on June 16, 2007 and June 23, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, has determined that the road should be named **GRAY LANE**.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, that the private road generally running easterly & northerly of US Highway 2 W and located in the E2NE4 Section 20 and in the W2NW4 of Section 21, Township 28 North, Range 22 West, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana, should be, and it hereby is, renamed **GRAY LANE**.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the naming of **GRAY LANE** shall be effective on June 26, 2007.

Dated this 26th day of June, 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana

By /s/Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By/s/Dale W. Lauman
Dale W. Lauman, Member

By /s/Gary D. Hall
Gary D. Hall, Member

ATTEST:
Paula Robinson, Clerk

By/s/Kimberly A Peacock
Kimberly A. Peacock, Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 2064

WHEREAS, Flathead County has proposed to name a private road generally running easterly and northerly off US Highway 93 N and located in the SW4 Section 24, Township 31 N, Range 23 W, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana.

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, held a public hearing on June 26, 2007 concerning the proposal, after publication and mailing of notice thereof on June 16, 2007 and June 23, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, has determined that the road should be named **LABEL LANE**.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, that the private road generally running easterly and northerly off US Highway 93 N and located in the SW4 Section 24, Township 31 N, Range 23 W, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana, should be, and it hereby is, renamed **LABEL LANE**.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the naming of **LABEL LANE** shall be effective on June 26, 2007.

Dated this 26th day of June, 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana

By /s/Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By/s/Dale W. Lauman
Dale W. Lauman, Member

By /s/Gary D. Hall
Gary D. Hall, Member

ATTEST:
Paula Robinson, Clerk

By/s/Kimberly A Peacock
Kimberly A. Peacock, Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 2065

WHEREAS, Flathead County has proposed to name a private road generally running easterly off Columbia Falls Stage and located in the SE4SE4 Section 17, Township 30 North, Range 20 West, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana. This will rename Little Man Drive to River Falls Drive.

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, held a public hearing on June 26, 2007 concerning the proposal, after publication and mailing of notice thereof on June 16, 2007 and June 23, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, has determined that the road should be named **RIVER FALLS DRIVE**.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, that the private road generally running easterly off Columbia Falls Stage and located in the SE4SE4 Section 17, Township 30 North, Range 20 West, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana, should be, and it hereby is, renamed **RIVER FALLS DRIVE**.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the naming of **RIVER FALLS DRIVE** shall be effective on June 26, 2007.

Dated this 26th day of June, 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana

By /s/Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By/s/Dale W. Lauman
Dale W. Lauman, Member

By /s/Gary D. Hall
Gary D. Hall, Member

ATTEST:
Paula Robinson, Clerk

By /s/Kimberly A Peacock
Kimberly A. Peacock, Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 2066

WHEREAS, Flathead County has proposed to name a private road generally running southerly off Rogers Lake Road and connecting to Rogers Lane and located in the SW4NW4 Section 29, Township 27 N, Range 23 W, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana. East Rogers Lake Road should be renamed to be included in Rogers Lane.

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, held a public hearing on June 26, 2007 concerning the proposal, after publication and mailing of notice thereof on June 16, 2007 and June 23, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, has determined that the road should be named **ROGERS LANE**.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, that the private road generally running southerly off Rogers Lake Road and connecting to Rogers Lane and located in the SW4NW4 Section 29, Township 27 N, Range 23 W, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana, should be, and it hereby is, named **ROGERS LANE**.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the naming of **ROGERS LANE** shall be effective on June 26, 2007.

Dated this 26th day of June, 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana

By /s/Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By /s/Dale W. Lauman
Dale W. Lauman, Member

By /s/Gary D. Hall
Gary D. Hall, Member

ATTEST:
Paula Robinson, Clerk

By /s/Kimberly A Peacock
Kimberly A. Peacock, Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 2067

WHEREAS, Flathead County has proposed to name a private road generally running southeasterly off US Highway 93 W and is located in the W ½ of the SW ¼ of Section 34, Township 31 North, Range 22 West, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana.

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, held a public hearing on June 26, 2007 concerning the proposal, after publication and mailing of notice thereof on June 16, 2007 and June 23, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, has determined that the road should be named **SPENCER HILL DRIVE**.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, that the private road generally running southeasterly off US Highway 93 W and is located in the W ½ of the SW ¼ of Section 34, Township 31 North, Range 22 West, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana, should be, and it hereby is, named **SPENCER HILL DRIVE**.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the naming of **SPENCER HILL DRIVE** shall be effective on June 26, 2007.

Dated this 26th day of June, 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana

By /s/Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By /s/Dale W. Lauman
Dale W. Lauman, Member

By /s/Gary D. Hall
Gary D. Hall, Member

ATTEST:
Paula Robinson, Clerk

By /s/Kimberly A Peacock
Kimberly A. Peacock, Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 2068

WHEREAS, Flathead County has proposed to name a private road generally running southerly off a road proposed to be named Spencer Hill Drive which runs southeasterly off US Highway 93 W and is located in the W ½ of the SW ¼ of Section 34, Township 31 North, Range 22 West, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana.

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, held a public hearing on June 26, 2007 concerning the proposal, after publication and mailing of notice thereof on June 16, 2007 and June 23, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, has determined that the road should be named **SPENCER TRAIL**.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, that the private road generally running southerly off a road proposed to be named Spencer Hill Drive which runs southeasterly off US Highway 93 W and is located in the W ½ of the SW ¼ of Section 34, Township 31 North, Range 22 West, P.M.M., Flathead County, Montana, should be, and it hereby is, named **SPENCER TRAIL**.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the naming of **SPENCER TRAIL** shall be effective on June 26, 2007.

Dated this 26th day of June, 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana

By /s/Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By /s/Dale W. Lauman
Dale W. Lauman, Member

By /s/Gary D. Hall
Gary D. Hall, Member

ATTEST:
Paula Robinson, Clerk

By /s/Kimberly A Peacock
Kimberly A. Peacock, Deputy

FINAL SELECTION OF EXTERNAL AUDITOR

10:19:38 AM

Members present:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman
Commissioner Gary D. Hall
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman

Others present:

Assistant Michael Pence, Finance Director Laurel Raymond, I.T. Director Vicki Saxby, Treasurer Adele Krantz, Clerk & Recorder Paula Robinson, Cindy Dooley, Clerk Kimberly Peacock

Paula Robinson stated that the Audit Committee met to review the three proposals received for External Auditor. The proposals received were from Anderson Zur Meuehlen & Company, Denning, Downey & Associates and Joseph Eve. The committee unanimously chooses Anderson Zur Meuehlen.

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to select Anderson Zur Meuehlen & Company as External Auditor for Flathead County. Commissioner Hall **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Brenneman, Hall and Lauman. Motion carried unanimously.

MONTHLY MEETING W/ RICK TREMBATH, FIRE WARDEN

This meeting was cancelled.

BOARD APPOINTMENTS: AOA, LIBRARY, MOSQUITO CONTROL

11:00:18 AM

Members present:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman

Commissioner Gary D. Hall
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman
Others present:
Assistant Michael Pence, County Attorney Jonathan Smith, Clerk Kimberly Peacock

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to appoint Donna Bosch, Michelle Christensen, Judy Johnson and Lucile Bucek to the AOA Board. Commissioner Hall **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Brenneman, Hall and Lauman. Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Hall made a **motion** to appoint Kayla French Loughed to the Library Board. Commissioner Lauman **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Brenneman, Hall and Lauman. Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to appoint April Gaede and Gary Dahlgren to the Flathead County Mosquito Control Board. Commissioner Hall **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Brenneman, Hall and Lauman. Motion carried unanimously.

CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION: CREATION OF ANIMAL CONTROL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

[11:05:19 AM](#)

Members present:
Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman
Commissioner Gary D. Hall
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman
Others present:
Assistant Michael Pence, Jonathan Smith, Clerk Kimberly Peacock

Commissioner Hall made a **motion** to approve Resolution #2069 to create an Animal Control Advisory Committee. Commissioner Lauman **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Brenneman, Hall and Lauman. Motion carried unanimously.

RESOLUTION NO. 2069

WHEREAS, Flathead County has operated an Animal Shelter for many years in order that stray dogs and cats in Flathead County may be dealt with humanely;

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has recently determined that the Animal Shelter and Animal Control operations will be administered with the involvement of both the Flathead City-County Health Department and the Flathead County Sheriff's Department; and

WHEREAS, the recent changes in the operation of the Flathead County Animal Shelter and Animal Control have led the Board of Commissioners to believe that an advisory committee made up of interested County residents would be a valuable aid in managing stray dogs and cats and the Animal Shelter operated by Flathead County.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, that the Flathead County Animal Advisory Committee is established as follows:

1. The Flathead County Animal Advisory Committee shall be composed of five members, appointed by the Board of Commissioners and serving at the pleasure of the Board of Commissioners.

2. The members of the Flathead County Animal Advisory Committee shall serve for terms of three years, commencing on January 1 and ending on December 31. Members appointed at the adoption of this Resolution shall serve until December 31 of the year in which their terms, established as set forth below, expire. Terms of the members shall overlap; in order that the terms will overlap, the members initially appointed shall determine, by drawing lots, one member to serve a term ending December 31, 2007, two members to serve terms ending December 31, 2008 and two members to serve terms ending December 31, 2009. Members whose terms expire may be appointed to successive terms on the Committee.

3. The members of the Flathead County Animal Advisory Committee shall receive no salary or pay for their service on the Flathead County Animal Advisory Committee.

4. The members of the Flathead County Animal Advisory Committee shall be residents of Flathead County.

5. The Flathead County Animal Advisory Committee will advise the Board of Commissioners concerning issues regarding control of stray dogs and cats generally and operation of the Animal Shelter, and provide public education as to the issues involved in control of stray dogs and cats and operation of the Animal Shelter. The Board of Commissioners may request reviews by the Advisory Board of animal control issues that have come to the attention of the Board of Commissioners.

6. A majority of the members of the Flathead County Animal Advisory Committee constitutes a quorum for the purpose of conducting business and exercising responsibilities; action may be taken by a majority of members present and voting.

7. The Flathead County Animal Advisory Committee shall organize by electing one of its members president and one of its members vice-president. The Flathead County Animal Advisory Committee must provide for the keeping of written minutes of its meetings, which minutes shall include the final vote on all actions and the vote of each member.

8. The Flathead County Animal Advisory Committee shall adopt bylaws governing its activities and procedures, and shall set forth therein the date, time, and place of regularly scheduled meetings, and such other matters as the Committee shall deem advisable. The bylaws, and any amendments thereto, shall be subject to approval by the Board of Commissioners.

9. The members of the Flathead County Animal Advisory Committee may be removed by a majority vote of the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana.

Dated this 26th day of June, 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana

By /s/ Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By /s/ Dale W. Lauman
Dale W. Lauman, Member

By /s/ Gary D. Hall
Gary D. Hall, Member

ATTEST:
Paula Robinson, Clerk

By /s/ Kimberly A Peacock
Kimberly A. Peacock, Deputy

CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION: CREATION OF COUNTY ROAD ADVISORY COMMITTEE

11:05:19 AM

Members present:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman
Commissioner Gary D. Hall
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman

Others present:

Assistant Michael Pence, County Attorney Jonathan Smith, Clerk Kimberly Peacock

Discussion was held relative to the board being non-regulatory.

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to approve Resolution #2070 to create a County Road Advisory Committee. Commissioner Hall **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Brenneman, Hall and Lauman. Motion carried unanimously.

RESOLUTION NO. 2070

WHEREAS, Flathead County maintains over 1,000 miles of roads, both paved and unpaved, in the County;

WHEREAS, the resources available to Flathead County for road maintenance and improvement have not increased in recent years at the rate at which both the use of the County roads and the costs of maintenance and improvement have increased;

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has determined that an advisory committee made up of County residents from different areas of the County would provide important assistance to the Board in both devising methods to increase resources to be used for road maintenance and improvement and in the allocation of available resources for maintenance and improvement of roads in the County.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, that the Flathead County Road Advisory Committee is established as follows:

1. The Flathead County Road Advisory Committee shall be composed of five members, appointed by the Board of Commissioners and serving at the pleasure of the Board of Commissioners.

2. The members of the Flathead County Road Advisory Committee shall serve for terms of three years, commencing on January 1 and ending on December 31. Members appointed at the adoption of this Resolution shall serve until December 31 of the year in which their terms, established as set forth below, expire. Terms of the members shall overlap; in order that the terms will overlap, the members initially appointed shall determine, by drawing lots, one member to serve a term ending December 31, 2007, two members to serve terms ending December 31, 2008 and two members to serve terms ending December 31, 2009. Members whose terms expire may be appointed to successive terms on the Committee.

3. The members of the Flathead County Road Advisory Committee shall receive no salary or pay for their service on the Flathead County Road Advisory Committee.

4. The members of the Flathead County Road Advisory Committee shall be residents of Flathead County. One member shall be appointed from the rural portion of each Commissioner District and two members shall be appointed at large.

5. The Flathead County Road Advisory Committee will advise the Board of Commissioners concerning issues regarding County road maintenance and improvement and provide public education as to the issues involved in County road improvement and maintenance. The Board of Commissioners may request reviews by the Advisory Board of Road issues that have come to its attention.

6. A majority of the members of the Flathead County Road Advisory Committee constitutes a quorum for the purpose of conducting business and exercising responsibilities; action may be taken by a majority of members present and voting.

7. The Flathead County Road Advisory Committee shall organize by electing one of its member's president and one of its members vice-president. The Flathead County Road Advisory Committee will provide for the keeping of written minutes of its meetings, which minutes will include the final vote on all actions and the vote of each member.

8. The Flathead County Road Advisory Committee shall adopt bylaws governing its activities and procedures, and shall set forth therein the date, time, and place of regularly scheduled meetings and such other matters as the Committee shall deem advisable. The bylaws, and any amendments thereto, shall be subject to approval by the Board of Commissioners.

9. The members of the Flathead County Road Advisory Committee may be removed by a majority vote of the Board of Commissioners.

Dated this 26th day of June, 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana

By/s/Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By/s/Dale W. Lauman
Dale W. Lauman, Member

By/s/Gary D. Hall
Gary D. Hall, Member

ATTEST:
Paula Robinson, Clerk

By/s/Kimberly A Peacock
Kimberly A. Peacock, Deputy

12:00 p.m. Commissioner Brenneman to CDC meeting @ CDC Office
3:30 p.m. Commissioner Hall to RAC meeting @ Commissioners' Meeting Room

At 5:00 o'clock P.M., the Board continued the session until 8:00 o'clock A.M. on June 27, 2007.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 27, 2007

The Board of County Commissioners met in continued session at 8:00 o'clock A.M. Chairman Brenneman, Commissioners Hall and Lauman, and Clerk Robinson were present.

Commissioner Hall PT opened public comment on matters within the Commissions' Jurisdiction,

Tom Stahlberg a property owner next to the proposed Hidden Lakes Subdivision stated that he is not against the development, but would like a barrier between his property and the subdivision. Also stated was that he would like the access road to be straightened out.

Allen Avery representing Hidden Lakes Subdivision stated that they did propose to do a six foot solid vinyl fence and that the emergency access road would be straightened out. He then said that he came to speak about an issue in regards to background information to the latest letter he has received from FWP in regards to condition 17 that addresses wetland discussions. He then clearly stated that from the initial discussions with the community they have wanted to improve and increase natural wetland vegetation on the property. Their plan is to contour the banks as well as improve the overall quality of the ponds, however to date there has been no individual department or government body that has expressed a strong interest in the area of wanting to work with them to put together a comprehensive plan. They have received mixed suggestions and guidelines with varying opinions along the process. He then spoke about the most current letter received from FWP dated June 25, 2007, that was presented to the Commission. In this letter FWP mentions many things and clarifies that the area is not a functional wetland and is not a high priority for FWP, and ultimately refers to the Commission for any setbacks or buffers.

Planner Kirsten Holland then presented the information received from the applicant on June 26, 2007 to the Commission.

Allen Avery stated that the property around the ponds has been used as farmland. He then said that the previous owners dredged the property and messed it up; currently minimal wetland vegetation exists and that the surface water present does not connect to any surface water within the valley. Avery said that what they would like to do is hire a professional wetland biologist for guidance. He then read a portion of the letter from FWP that says that wetlands do not exist in the area where the lots are closest to the water.

No one else rising to speak, Commissioner Hall PT closed the public comment period.

PRELIMINARY PLAT: HIDDEN LAKES SUBDIVISION

9:32:32 AM

Members present:

Commissioner Gary D. Hall PT
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman

Members absent:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman

Others present:

Planner Kirsten Holland, Bryan Long, Tom Stahlberg, Alan Avery, Dennis Carver, Thomas R Litchfield, Clerk Kile

Holland stated that the new information that was received was submitted in response to some of the Planning Board issues that were brought up in regards to floodplain delineation, wetlands and affordable housing.

Discussion was held relative to a fence and affordability housing, in which Holland stated that the applicant said that it was not intended to meet the definition of affordable housing.

Holland then reviewed the major subdivision application submitted by Alan Avery, Steve Paulson and James Paulson with technical assistance from Long Engineering for preliminary plat approval of Hidden Lakes Subdivision; a proposal for 150 residential units, including 128 single family dwellings and 22 townhouse units on approximately 70 acres. This application proposes four phases. Primary access to the site is from Somers Stage Road. On May 23, 2007, the Planning Board voted 4-4 to recommend denial of this application.

Thomas Litchfield spoke about the setback requirement and stated that the issue with the 50 – 100 foot setback is that they do want to create setbacks, but if you put a blanket requirement with setbacks it will wipe out viable lots within subdivisions. He then said that the point is with this proposal is that it is not a functional wetland area, but that it could be turned into and created to be a functional wetland. He then said that a blanket setback could be detrimental to FWP and what they would like to do. They do agree that they should take advantage of the opportunity to create some wetlands. He then said that FWP has an interest, although it is not a high priority. Litchfield said that they would want to hire a biologist to guide them through cleaning up the mess that is there now and make the area a functional viable wetland area.

Holland also reviewed the Planning Boards Addendum to Subdivision Report FPP 06-65 and the Summary of Findings 1-8.

Discussion included changes of conditions and Thomas Litchfield clarified concerns of the Commission in regards to wetlands.

- Add Condition 31 in regards to fencing
- Amend Condition 25 in regards to Parkland fees
- Amend Condition 3 in regards to the road
- Amend Condition 2 in regards to paving Somers Stage Road
- Add Condition 32 in regards to wetlands
- Amend Finding 4 in regards to an emergency access route

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to amend Finding of Fact 8 that states all 5 criteria for a variance are met and to adopt Staff Report FPP 06-65 as amended. Commissioner Hall PT **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Hall and Lauman. Motion carried by quorum.

Discussion included the changes made to conditions 2, 3, 4, 17 and 25 with condition 31 and 32 added.

Bryan Long said that they had concerns with condition 2 in regards to Somers Stage Road being brought to and paved. He then asked if the current road does not meet county standards if they would have to do a complete re-construction of the entire Somers Stage Road.

Commissioner Hall stated that they deal with road issues on a day to day basis and that this subdivision will put an additional 1,200 cars on the road per day.

Bryan Long then asked if they were required to completely improve and re-construct to county standards.

Condition 2 was changed from built and paved to county standards to, brought to and paved to county standards.

Bryan Long then questioned condition 19 and stated that he feels the storm water drainage issue is taken care of in condition 15.

Condition 19 was deleted.

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to approve preliminary plat of Hidden Lakes Subdivision with amendments to conditions. Commissioner Hall PT **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Hall and Lauman. Motion carried by quorum.

FINAL PRELIMINARY PLAT CONDITIONS

1. The County Commission shall approve a variance to Section 3.9, Table 3 for the internal subdivision road length, finding that the request for variance meets all criteria for hardship.
2. Somers Stage Road shall be brought to and paved to County standards from the northern boundary of the subdivision south to the intersection with US Highway 93.
3. The private, internal subdivision road system shall consist of a 60-foot right-of-way, 20-foot paved driving surface, and a cul-de-sac radius of 50 feet with an outside right-of-way radius of 55-feet and be designed by a licensed, professional engineer and upon completion of construction shall be certified by a licensed professional engineer for compliance with AASHTO and Section 3.9 of the Flathead County Subdivision Regulations.
4. The applicant shall secure proof of legal and physical access to Commerce Way for emergency egress. Proof of access shall be obtained from the Bonneville Power Administration and the owners of private properties across which the emergency egress travels.
5. The emergency egress route shall be built to County standards, including a minimum 40-foot right of way and a 20-foot gravel surface.
6. The emergency egress to Commerce Way shall be gated to prevent daily usage. The gate shall meet the requirements of emergency service providers serving the subdivision.
7. All requirements of the Montana Department of Transportation shall be met, including preparation of a Traffic Impact Study per department specifications.
8. The subdivider will obtain and show proof of a completed approach permit from the Flathead County Road Department for access for 150 residential lots onto Somers Stage Road. The permit shall indicate the approach has been built and received final inspection. [Section 3.8(A), FCSR]
9. The subdivider shall receive physical addresses in accordance with Flathead County Resolution 1626. All addresses and roadway names shall appear on the final plat. Street addressing shall be assigned by the Address Coordinator.

[Section 3.9(I)(7), FCSR]

10. A 15-foot bicycle and pedestrian easement shall be established on the property along Somers Stage Road.
11. New electrical and telephone utilities shall be extended underground to abut and be available to each lot, in accordance with a plan approved by the applicable utility providers. [Sections 3.17 & 3.18, FCSR]
12. The subdivider shall comply with reasonable fire suppression and access requirements of the Somers/Lakeside Fire Department. A letter from the fire chief stating requirements have been met shall be submitted with the application for Final Plat. [Section 3.20, FCSR]
13. The subdivision shall be served by the Lakeside County Water and Sewer District public sewer system and shall be reviewed by the Flathead City-County Health Department and approved by the Montana Department of Environmental Quality. A "will serve" letter from LCWSD shall be submitted with the final plat. [Section 3.14(A) and 3.15(A), FCSR].
14. The public on-site water system shall be reviewed by and approved by the Montana Department of Environmental Quality and the Flathead County Environmental Health Department. [Section 3.14 A]
15. The applicant shall submit a Storm Water Drainage Plan that is certified by a licensed Professional Engineer that shall be approved and reviewed by the Flathead County City-County Environmental Health Department and the Montana Department of Environmental Quality.
16. The applicant will contact the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation regarding the public water system and obtain any permits required by the department.
17. A professional wetland biologist, working with the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks, shall be hired to provide guidelines for minimum setbacks and vegetative buffers, establishing a balance of vegetative buffers, landscaped areas, and recreational common areas.
18. The applicant will submit a detailed wetlands study prepared by a professional biologist with the application for final plat.
19. ~~No surface runoff shall be discharged into existing ponds.~~ (deleted)
20. The applicant shall have the floodplain boundaries surveyed and the 100-year floodplain shall be clearly delineated on the face of the plat and designated as a no-build zone. [Section 3.5, FCSR]
21. Two bus stops shall be established on Somers Stage Road, meeting the specifications of the Superintendent of Schools and the Child Transportation Committee.
22. The applicant has entered into an agreement with the Somers School District to provide \$150,000 to offset costs associated with increased enrollment. This agreement shall be fulfilled according to the schedule set forth in the agreement.
23. All areas disturbed during development of the subdivision shall be re-vegetated in accordance with a plan approved by the Flathead County Weed Department. [7-22-2116 MCA and Section 3.12(J), FCSR]
24. The applicant will obtain a letter from the local postmaster, indicating the applicant has met the postal service's requirements for mail delivery in accordance with the Flathead County Subdivision Regulations. [Section 3.22, FCSR]
25. The subdivider shall pay a parkland fee equivalent to the value of 3.08 acres of the unimproved, undivided land or work with the Flathead County Parks Department to create a public county park. If the cash-in-lieu option is exercised, the subdivider shall provide a current appraisal from a certified MAI appraiser no sooner than six months prior to the final plat application to set the final amount of the parkland cash donation.
26. The following statements shall be placed on the face of the final plat applicable to all lots:
 - a. All addresses will be visible from the road, and at the driveway entrance or on the house.
 - b. All utilities will be extended underground.
 - c. Lot owners are bound by the soil disturbance and weed management plan to which the developer and the Flathead County Weed Department agreed.
 - d. Defensible space standards and *Firewise* principles shall be incorporated around all structures.
 - e. Class A or B roofing materials are required on all structures.
 - f. Pets shall be kept under owner control at all times, either kept indoors, on leash or in fenced areas.
 - g. No common area shall be further subdivided.
 - h. Solid Waste shall be disposed of via contractor haul to the Flathead County Landfill
 - i.

Waiver of Protest
Participation in Special Improvement District

_____ (Owner) hereby waives any and all right to protest which it may have in regards to any attempt to be made by a local governmental entity, to initiate a Special Improvement District which includes _____ Subdivision, shown on the plat therefore, for any of the purposes related to roads, water facilities and systems, and sewer facilities and systems, set forth in Sections 7-12-2102 and 7-12-4102, M.C.A.; provided however that _____ understands that (he/she/it/they) retains the ability to object to the amount of assessment imposed as a result of the formation of a Special Improvement District, including the right to object on the basis that the property is not benefited by the Special Improvement District. _____ agrees that this covenant shall run to, with and be binding on the title of the real property described above and shall be binding on the heirs, assigns, successors in interest, purchasers, and any and all subsequent holders or owners of the real property shown on the subdivision plat for _____ Subdivision.
27. The following statement shall be placed on the final plat: The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) imposes certain conditions on the portions of those properties encumbered by its high voltage transmission line right-of-way. BPA does not allow structures to be built within the right-of-way, nor does it allow access to be blocked to any transmission facilities. Any activity that is to occur within the right-of-way needs to be permitted by BPA prior to installation or construction. Information regarding the permitting process for proposed uses of the right-of-way may be addressed to BPA Real Estate Field Services at (406) 721-7821

28. All required improvements shall be completed in place or a Subdivision Improvement Agreement, in accordance with Chapter 8 of the Flathead County Subdivision Regulations, shall be provided by the subdivider prior to final approval by the County Commissioners. [Chapter 8, FCSR]
29. The final plat shall be in substantial compliance with the plat and plans submitted for review, except as modified by these conditions. [Section 2.7(E), FCSR]
30. Preliminary plat approval is valid for three (3) years. [Section 2.5(D)(6), FCSR]
31. The applicant shall fence along the western boundary adjoining Lot 1 of Campfire Memories subdivision to mitigate impacts from potentially conflicting uses.
32. The applicant shall delineate all wetlands prior to construction to ensure there is no encroachment into the wetlands.

COS REVIEW: JOHNSON

[10:55:38 AM](#)

Members present:

Commissioner Gary D. Hall PT
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman

Members absent:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman

Others present:

Planner Kirsten Holland, Chuck Lorentzen, Ronald Severson, Robert Brown, Clerk Kile

Holland reviewed the COS request from Johnson to transfer two parcels of land to daughters. This property is located off Fairmont Road and is north of Holt Stage Road.

Commissioner Hall asked that they consider consulting with the Rural Fire Department in regards to an emergency vehicle turn around on the property.

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to approve the Johnson family transfer request. Commissioner Hall PT **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Hall and Lauman. Motion carried by quorum.

CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION: ELECTED OFFICIALS SALARIES 2008

[11:03:44 AM](#)

Members present:

Commissioner Gary D. Hall PT
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman

Members absent:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman

Others present:

Assistant Michael Pence, Clerk Kile

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to adopt Resolution #2072 for elected official's salaries for 2008. Commissioner Hall PT **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Hall and Lauman. Motion carried by quorum.

**RESOLUTION NO. 2072
ELECTED OFFICIALS SALARIES – 2007/2008**

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana is required to fix the salaries of all elected officials by resolution by August 1, 2007, in accordance with Section 7-4-2504, M.C.A.;

WHEREAS, Section 7-4-2503(4), M.C.A., establishes a County Compensation Board to set the base salary for county elected officials and that Board considered the required factors including county population trends and current population statistics;

WHEREAS, the County Compensation Board recommended that for the 2007-08 fiscal year that elected officials salaries should be increased by a COLA of 3.2 percent to the base salary;

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has reviewed the recommendation of the County Salary Board and has determined that the recommendation to increase elected officials salaries by a COLA of 3.2 percent is appropriate; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners upgraded the Justice of the Peace Court to a justice's court of record beginning on January 1, 2007, and authorized two full-time Justices beginning that date at a base salary of \$65,000, plus longevity of one percent for each year served as a Flathead County Justice Court Judge, not to exceed ten percent.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of County Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, hereby fixes the annual salaries of the following elected officials, effective July 1, 2007, by adding a 3.2 percent COLA to the 2006-07 base salary, and longevity as appropriate, as follows:

Clerk of District Court	\$56,838.00
County Treasurer/Assessor	\$58,838.00
Justice of the Peace, Dept. 1	\$72,111.00
Justice of the Peace, Dept. 2	\$67,415.00
Clerk/Recorder/Surveyor	\$58,838.00
County Commissioners	\$58,838.00
Sheriff/Coroner	\$73,658.00
Superintendent of Schools	\$57,238.00
County Attorney	\$90,472.00

Dated this 27th day of June, 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana

By/s/ _____
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By/s/Dale W. Lauman
Dale W. Lauman, Member

By /s/Gary D. Hall PT
Gary D. Hall, Member

ATTEST:
Paula Robinson, Clerk

By/s/Diana Kile
Diana Kile, Deputy

CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF FINAL RESOLUTION: FEE INCREASE/ SOLID WASTE DISTRICT

[11:01:28 AM](#)

Members present:

Commissioner Gary D. Hall
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman

Members absent:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman

Others present:

Clerk Kile

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to adopt Resolution #2056A for a fee increase in Solid Waste. Commissioner Hall PT **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Hall and Lauman. Motion carried by quorum.

RESOLUTION NO. 2056 A

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors of the Flathead County Solid Waste Management District requested approval, from the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, to increase the assessment fees charged by the Flathead County Solid Waste Management District, from Seventy four and 75/100 dollars (\$74.75) per year per residential unit to Eighty and 73/100 Dollars (\$80.73) per year per residential unit, and service charges for the disposal of solid waste not covered by assessment fees from Twenty-eight and 75/100 (\$28.75) per ton to Thirty-one and 05/100 (\$31.05) per ton;

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors of the Flathead County Solid Waste Management District requested that the increase in the assessment fees take effect August 1, 2007, and that the increase in the service charges for the disposal of solid waste not covered by the assessment fees take effect on November 1, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners passed a Resolution of Intention (Resolution No. 2056) on the 29th day of May, 2007, stating its intention to raise those fees and published Notice of Intent to Increase Charges on June 3 and June 10, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has not received protests from more than fifty percent (50%) of the family residential units in the District, and deems the proposed increases in the assessment fees and service charges to be necessary and appropriate.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, that it hereby approves the increase in the assessment fees charged by the Flathead County Solid Waste Management District, from Seventy four and 75/100 dollars (\$74.75) per year per residential unit to Eighty and 73/100 Dollars (\$80.73) per year per residential unit, and service charges for the disposal of solid waste not covered by assessment fees from Twenty-eight and 75/100 (\$28.75) per ton to Thirty-one and 05/100 (\$31.05) per ton.

BE IT FUTHER RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Flathead County, Montana, that the increase in the assessment fees take effect August 1, 2007, and that the increase in the service charges for the disposal of solid waste not covered by the assessment fees take effect on November 1, 2007.

DATED this 27th day of June, 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana

By _____
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By/s/Dale W. Lauman
Dale W. Lauman, Member

By /s/Gary D. Hall PT
Gary D. Hall, Member

ATTEST:
Paula Robinson, Clerk

By/s/Diana Kile
Diana Kile, Deputy

DOCUMENTS FOR SIGNATURE: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS-CARLISLE & DUSING/ SHERIFF'S OFFICE

11:05:26 AM

Members present:

Commissioner Gary D. Hall
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman

Members absent:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman

Others present:

Assistant Michael Pence, Clerk Kile

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to approve the professional services contract for medical services for inmates as needed. Commissioner Hall PT **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Hall and Lauman. Motion carried by quorum.

8:30 a.m. Commissioner Brenneman to Presentation to Flathead Lakers re: Storm water in Bigfork @ Belton Chalet
10:00 a.m. Commissioner Brenneman to Flathead Basin Commission meeting @ Glacier Nat'l Park HQ
11:00 a.m. County Attorney meeting @ Co. Atty's Office
1:30 p.m. Commissioner Lauman to view Road Abandonment 462 (South Ashley Lake Road)

At 5:00 o'clock P.M., the Board continued the session until 8:00 o'clock A.M. on June 28, 2007.

THURSDAY, JUNE 28, 2007

The Board of County Commissioners met in continued session at 8:00 o'clock A.M. Chairman Brenneman, Commissioners Hall and Lauman, and Clerk Robinson were present.

Commissioner Hall PT opened public comment on matters within the Commissions' Jurisdiction.

Barbara Coppock, 470 Political Hill Road presented a letter and map to the Commission in regards to water runoff from the area west of Hwy 93 just south of Lakeside, which is known as Eagle's Crest development. Coppock then read the letter that stated her main concern, which is flooding with the potential runoff from the proposed Eagle's Crest development.

Fran Ruby, 85 Spring Creek Road a neighbor to Eagle's Crest development spoke about runoff and urged the Commission to not approve a 40 year development plan with possible unknowns at this time.

Howard Ruby, 85 Spring Creek Road spoke about the lack of affordable housing in Eagle's Crest and the potential traffic problems. He then asked that the Commission look at the development to see if everything is in place that is suppose to be done in Phases 1-4.

Mayre Flowers with Citizens for a Better Flathead spoke about a standard in the recent draft of the Subdivision Regulations for a requirement that storm water plans be presented and reviewed at preliminary plat. Flowers then said that they have reviewed public comment that was received in regards to Eagle's Crest and there was a letter that clearly outlines deficiencies in the Eagle's Crest application, which she feels more than gives the Commission a basis to deny or at least send back to the Planning Board. She then said that she feels the Planning Office erred in granting sufficiency for the subdivision when it was put forth, and that there is a lot of work that needs to be done to make sure the large development is done in a quality way. Also stated was that the 1987 Growth Policy contained a provision that addresses winter range issues and limited development to 1 unit per 20 acres; the area in Eagle's Crest has a portion of a wintering range in it. Flowers then spoke about secondary accesses and wants standards in place to address high fire prone areas. She then asked that the proposal be sent back for further review or to be denied at this time.

Bruce Young a resident in Lakeside spoke of his concern with the planning that has gone on in Eagle's Crest Subdivision. He then said that sometimes things look nice on paper, but that they don't translate to the property or the rules and regulations that have guided us in the past. The density according to Master Plan 4.7 should have been 1 house for 20 acres, and what has been approved there already creates 1 house for 20 acres for the 2,400 acre parcel. He then questioned where is the wildlife going to go with them being squeezed off with the high density project. Young then spoke about the drainage issue and stated that he would appreciate it if the county would address this issue up front as much as possible, rather than pass it off to an overworked and overburdened state department. He then said that he would like to see the Commission take the leadership in regards to drainage and start controlling the drainage in the valley. Also stated were concerns of density, highway safety and high fire hazards. He then said that the project should be rejected and sent back through the planning process with consideration of Phase V.

Gregg Schohh a resident of Lakeside stated that he agrees with everything that has been said this morning. He then said that in light of the county being in the process of still revising a Growth Policy and Lakeside Neighborhood Plan still revising their Neighborhood Plan, that it seems to him that the cart is being put before the horse to approve 5 phases and 40 years of development on the front end, before polices are reviewed and brought into an appropriate state for the future of the valley. He then said to approve the 5 more phases is premature and asked that the application be denied.

No one else rising to speak, Commissioner Hall PT closed the public comment period.

CONTINUATION OF PRELIMINARY PLAT: EAGLE'S CREST SUBDIVISIONS, PHASES V-IX

[10:00:05 AM](#)

Members present:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman
Commissioner Gary D. Hall
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman

Others present:

Assistant Michael Pence, Trevor Schaefer, Fran Ruby, Howard Ruby, Barbara Coppock, Gregg Schoh, Mayre Flowers, Bruce Young, Jacob Doran, Tony Mitchell, Tabby Ivy, Thomas R Litchfield, Clerk Kile

A motion was made on June 5, 2007, to extend the review date on preliminary plat of Eagle's Crest Subdivision, Phases V-IX to June 28, 2007.

Jeff Harris stated that a conference was just held with the applicant and with the instructions received from the Commission on June 5, 2007, to go back and review the findings; that the Staff Report was written based on the analysis of the 1987 Master Plan. He then said that they have sat down with the applicant and tried to address all the issues; there are some areas that they have agreed that they don't agree on, and have since come to the realization that due to many factors the application needs to be referred back to the Planning Board for a subsequent hearing.

Chairman Brenneman then asked Mr. Schaefer if he concurred with the recommendation from Mr. Harris.

Trevor Schaefer then said that he felt it would be appropriate to re-enter the public process, if it could be done in a timely manner. He then said they would like to have a 60 day extension to get the application back to the Commission before the end of August. That would give them the month of July for public comment, and to hold the public hearing on August 1, 2007, and to the Planning Board on August 15, 2007.

Chairman Brenneman said that he concurs with the advice given in taking that course of action, but that they would need a waiver of the procedural process.

Trevor Schaefer then said he would like to extend it another 60 days, until the end of August.

Chairman Brenneman stated that for the record the applicant has extended a waiver for 60 days.

Jeff Harris said that the concern with the Planning Office in regards to timing would be if they met the August 15, 2007, timeline that it will still take at least 10 days from the Planning Board to the Commission; that it would be a tight schedule to meet.

Trevor Schaefer then said that they could go to September 15, 2007.

Commissioner Lauman made a **motion** to refer Eagle's Crest, Phases V-IV back to the Planning Office/ Planning Board to work with Mr. Schaefer and to extend a waiver until September 15, 2007. Commissioner Hall **seconded** the motion. **Aye** - Brenneman, Hall and Lauman. Motion carried unanimously.

SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS WORKSHOP @ JUSTICE CENTER COMMUNITY ROOM

[6:00:21 AM](#)

Members present:

Chairman Joseph D. Brenneman
Commissioner Gary D. Hall
Commissioner Dale W. Lauman

Others present:

County Attorney Peter Steele, Planning & Zoning Director Jeff Harris, Planning & Zoning Administrative Assistant Mary Sevier, Erica Wirtala, D Brent Mitchell, Ardis Larsen, Bill Baum, Dick Quist, Mark Deleray, Bob Spoklie, Fred Hodgeboom, Linda Christensen, Gina Klempel, Ed Heger, Pat Arnone, Wade Fredenberg, Paul MacKenzie, Dan Casey, Susannah Casey, Charles Lapp, Tom Gorton, Noel Gorton, Loyal Chubb, Sharon Chubb, Todd Jochim, Shawna Floyd, Sally Janover, Dan Testa, Clerk Kile

Chairman Brenneman stated that the purpose of today's meeting is to review the revised proposed Interim Subdivision Regulations. He then said that the comments given tonight would be most relevant if you have read the document that will be referred to tonight; with this document being the result of two public work sessions and two public hearings. Brenneman then said in some instances parts are being left in and some have been pulled out completely, and sent back to the Planning Board until more public input is received. It was then stated that the Commission has received a letter from the Planning Board asking that the Commission adopt Interim Regulations sometime soon; with them finding their task more difficult because of the uncertainty of what the Subdivision Regulations are. Also stated was that there would be no formal action taken tonight.

Commissioner Hall stated that he has been supportive of the process and has been looking forward to an informal discussion as well as the opportunity to address concerns and answer questions. He then said that in the Growth Policy process we have a six month review and will basically do the same with the Interim Subdivision Regulations. Also stated was that it is important to have a good quality document and in that they will not meet everyone's expectations, but to be assured that there are three Commissioners that want to have the right document for Flathead County.

Commissioner Lauman stated that the Subdivision Regulations like the Growth Policy are not cast in stone and if there are elements in the document that don't work, they will change it and make it work. Lauman then said that they are trying to develop something compatible with government agencies with regulations that will work for the citizens of Flathead County, so that they can keep it a great place to live.

Chairman Brenneman stated that as individuals they can lobby the Commissioners with concerns with this being a legislative matter.

Fred Hodgeboom questioned the process in that they have been told several times that they needed to rush and get the Subdivision Regulations in place, because the existing regulations were not in compliance with state law. He then asked if it was possible to go through the regulations and tell him which ones were not in compliance.

Commissioner Hall said that he did have a list that could be looked at that would tell him which ones were in compliance with MCA, and which ones were the old regulations.

Jeff Harris said that he could run through the table of contents and tell him which ones were totally missing, and the ones with just parts missing. He then said that they tried to maintain the existing regulations wherever possible, and to just add to them to be consistent with state statute. Also a tool used was the state model Subdivision Regulations that came out in 2006; these model regulations were given to every county and city and many adopted the book as it was. Flathead County went through all the regulations and in that they came up with the proposed Interim Subdivision Regulations trying to stay close to what they already had.

Fred Hodgeboom questioned where these model Subdivision Regulations came from.

Peter Steele stated that the first model came out of the University of Montana Law School, where they have a public law of land use clinic.

Jeff Harris said the document acknowledges MACo, Montana Association of Planners, Montana University of Law Land Use Clinic, Montana Smart Growth Coalition and the Montana Association of Realtors, as being primary sponsors of the model regulations.

Peter Steele said that they started a year ago last February in taking the model regulations and going through them piece by piece, and looking at the statutes and the old regulations; since that time they have held two public hearings and two workshops.

Fred Hodgeboom then asked about the process of rushing the regulations through, and asked what lawsuits have been filed in regards to the issue of not having regulations in place by October 2006.

Peter Steele stated that they don't have any in regards to the Subdivision Regulations, but that the county is open to lawsuits not having the regulations in place.

Fred Hodgeboom then asked which regulations were drafted to get the county in compliance.

Jeff Harris said that if you go back and review the current lawsuits, that there are lawsuits that allege that the county is not in compliance with state statutes.

Chairman Brenneman said that people who wish to litigate development have a reason to do so with regulations not in place.

Pat Arnone, 595 Lauman Road spoke in regards to storm water drainage requirements at preliminary plat and stated her concerns with it being crossed out now. She then said if the number one issue for people in the valley is water quality, then it needs to go back in.

Jeff Harris said that discussion has centered around whether the applicant should bear the cost of preparing a storm water management plan at preliminary plat, because if they are denied they would lose the cost of preparing the plan. It was suggested that they have the plan meet all of DEQ's recommendations, and then submit it as part of the application package. Harris then said that statute MCA 76-3-622 requires that the applicants provide a description of their storm water plans for planning to have an understanding of what is being proposed and for public review.

Pat Arnone, 595 Lauman Road said that it seems like every piece of land would not need this; but if the county goes out and looks at a piece of land and decides that it would be best to have it done for that particular piece of land then they could decide.

Commissioner Hall stated that this is a concept plan for public and Commissioner review, and that would give them an opportunity to see what kind of plan it is.

Ardis Larsen then questioned who would review the storm water plan, and who would be qualified to do so. She then said that Glen Gray sends it off to DEQ.

Commissioner Hall said that what Jeff Harris is suggesting is a concept plan of what it looks like for Commissioner and public review; so that it would be ready to show the developer before it goes to DEQ.

Jeff Harris said that staff would be reviewing the storm water plan and that it would be available to the public, and if the public wants to hire an engineer to review it; it will be available to them. He then said that DEQ would be the one to do the final review.

Charles Lapp said that you are talking about two different plans and that it would make it difficult to make changes as you go along. He then said that during construction you would have a notice of intent to discharge that is sent to DEQ, and the next application is more for permanent plans; that it would make it difficult to make changes as you go along. Lapp then questioned how much could be changed from preliminary plat.

Russ Swindall said that as a developer he understands conformity to DEQ requirements in order to run a subdivision through.

Dan Casey spoke about the public not having a chance to review the plans, and said that he likes the idea of a compromise that will show the public what direction they need to go in order to comply.

Noel Gorton then spoke about an established subdivision by them that had run off water that pooled, and the developer dug a trench so that the runoff would drain into the creek. She then asked who would do follow up with the developer when they are in violation.

Chairman Brenneman then said that DEQ would be the one to contact regarding this issue.

Mark Delrey said that DEQ does have an enforcement officer stationed in the valley, and if a developer is not complying with the requirements that DEQ needs to be contacted.

Linda Christensen said that the problem with putting it all on DEQ at the end, is that sometimes the old timers know what is going on with the land and in the water, and there doesn't seem to be a place for public input in regards to this. She then said that there was one subdivision that she tried to call on for many months and never ever received a phone call back from DEQ. Christensen said that she feels communication is not set up properly for letting the public know what is going on.

Peter Steele stated that MCA 76-3-622 has a long list of information that the sub divider is required to give a list of at preliminary plat stage in regards to water and sanitation; this statute says that water and sanitation information including storm water systems needs to be submitted at preliminary plat stage.

Erica Wirtala, 1685 McMannamy Draw stated that she has spent a lot of time with several other professionals sitting in this type of meeting working through the regulations. She then said that Jeff Harris has spent a lot of time compiling a list showing where we are deficient in state law and what needs to be updated, and asked that he take the same regulations and make the same kind of list of where we are over extended above state law. Wirtala said if the subdivision process is rushed on these regulations and things are not taken into consideration then we will have litigation. She then asked as a county taxpayer and resident that the Commission do all that they can to avoid litigation by complying with state law and not to exceed state law. She then said she had several examples of the regulations being rushed through, and also said you don't see a lot of professionals here tonight, because they have thrown up their hands. It was stated that parkland dedication is not state law, yet it is in the regulations.

Chairman Brenneman said that we have others that come to them and present the other side of the picture in regards to their opinion of the Subdivision Regulations.

Erica Wirtala then said that when the professional are working that they are not giving opinions; that they get out state law books. She then said that when these model Subdivision Regulations were written that they were done as a class project; that they were not done by individuals that had any experience or knowledge other than researching information.

Chairman Brenneman then suggested that she talk with the Commissioners in regards to the portions of the regulations that she has concerns with.

Jeff Harris then explained the difference between gross and net acreage; with gross acreage being outside the perimeter of a lot line and all the area inside the lot line is considered gross acreage. Net acreage is what nets out after the dedications go out and that these are true dedications, as they transfer ownership to the agency reviewing the plat in a right-of-way situation. In our situation, all of our dedications are easements. The easements don't transfer ownership to the county. The land is still in the property owners' ownership. The county has a right to do whatever the easement is for on that easement area. Also stated was that in the county, lots have acreage which is the perimeter of the lot boundary, because there is not dedication of giving a road or utility right-of-way to an agency. You can not do this in Montana. He then stated that this is the distinction between gross and net acreage and that we are not going beyond state law with this.

Fred Hodgeboom questioned the storm water drainage and asked if there was a need for a threshold.

Chairman Brenneman stated that if we don't require it on everything, it's because they don't have control of a lot of the development that goes on, i.e., family transfers. He then said that as Commissioners they frequently see someone come into them and say "this is what happened in my neighborhood", why did you allow it to happen, and as Commissioner's we have the responsibility to try to do the best job we can for those people as well.

Fred Hodgeboom said that it seems that the regulations are overkill all the way through.

Chairman Brenneman said that 30 to 40 years ago a lot of these things were not a concern, but that due to current times regulations have changed.

Russ Swindall commended the Commission and stated that it is obvious they are working hard on the regulations. He then spoke on setbacks and stated that he doesn't like doing his job in a courtroom and that the regulations are not done as professionally as they could be, and that it will result in litigation. He then suggested the following:

Page 4-40 4.7.5 Planning Considerations (a-h) zoning not mentioned at all and he feels zoning should be included in the considerations

Chairman Brenneman said that zoning is not a regulation, but is a requirement. He then said these are considerations that can be used for evaluation and that zoning is more than a consideration.

Russ Swindall then said that he likes rules that he can read and also likes to do his job by not having to imply or interpret something.

Page 4-40 4.7.5 (g) the whole paragraph maximum residential unit per five acres.

It was stated that he feels this whole paragraph could be controversial with it being border line zoning by regulations and would like to keep zoning out of the regulations. He then said this is something that is already handled through DNRC and DEQ with what you can or cannot do around ground water, and would like to see the language eliminated.

Commissioner Hall stated that a lot of time has been spent in regards to the eight feet to five, but they feel it is necessary to add: unless scientific evidence demonstrates that a different density is appropriate.

Russ Swindall then questioned if it needed to say more than this, other than to just say: comply with DNRC, because when you are demanding a density you are zoning.

Chairman Brenneman said that DEQ considers the effects of the subdivision and that they as a Commission have a responsibility to look at cumulatively what the effects are going to be, more so than what DEQ is required to do.

Russ Swindall then said that he disagrees that this is the document that should have five acres in it.

Russ Swindall then spoke about landscape requirements, in which he feels the first sentence is all that is necessary and would like the rest of it eliminated.

Page 4-40 4.7.6 Landscape Requirements The Commission may impose landscaping requirements on the subdivider or homeowner. All landscape plans shall consist of over story coniferous or broadleaf trees

with an under-story of shrubs and grass. Plant materials shall be warranted for one year. All landscape site plans shall be approved by the Planning and Zoning Office.

Jeff Harris said that if you think about the process between preliminary plat and final plat and laying out conditions that need to be met prior to final plat; it is the final plat that is the trigger with everyone wanting to make it to final plat. If they require a plan to be submitted as a condition of final approval and the applicant comes in and gives the county a plan, if they don't ask that the plan be implemented prior to final plat, once final approval is given there is no guarantee, unless DEQ or another administrative regulatory procedure is in place; that once it is given that it will ever be implemented. It was then stated that they typically write conditions to mitigate impacts that they try to deal with the impacts created on that particular land.

Russ Swindall then said that he doesn't have a problem with them requiring landscaping, but that the sentence is a lame attempt of describing the requirement of landscaping.

Jeff Harris said that part of the landscaping is that we can ask anyone to go out and landscape, and they can put a twig in the ground and that there is no guarantee that it will grow. He then said that typically landscaping is guaranteed for one year.

Russ Swindall then spoke about lot requirements in regards to depth to width ratio, which he feels should not be in this document with it being border line zoning. He then said that these requirements should be in a zoning document.

Page 4-42 4.7.7 Lot requirements Each lot shall contain a satisfactory building site which is properly located in regards to topography and conforms to City/ County Health Department, zoning, floodplain, and lake shore regulations and these regulations. The proposed lots shall meet the following standards: (i), (k) (i)

(i) No lot shall have an average depth greater than three times its average width unless the average lot width is more than 200 feet.

(k) All lots in unzoned areas shall adhere to the following:

i. A minimum average width of 60 feet

Mark Deleray with Fish Wildlife and Parks asked when the portions of the Subdivision Regulations that were sent back to the Planning Board for review would be reviewed, and if there would be an opportunity for public input when they are being reviewed.

Chairman Brenneman said that the Planning Board was waiting for the Commission to make changes before they start to review the regulations, so they would know what they were reviewing.

Jeff Harris said that the Planning Board has set up July 19 and July 26 to begin to review the provisions from the Commission. It was then stated that this is specifically a workshop and that no action will be taken; that it will be dedicated to going through the provisions. Harris then said that the schedule would be available on their web-site and that they would be running public service announcements as well.

Mark Deleray then asked what the deadline would be in adopting the regulations.

Commissioner Hall stated that there was not one that it would depend on how soon the work was completed by the Planning Board.

Wade Fredenberg with Fish Wildlife and Parks asked how long the Interim Regulations would be in effect, before final Subdivision Regulations would be done. He then said that they have some concerns with what is going to the Planning Board for discussion, and questioned where it would go from there. Also asked was if the Commission is going to request the Planning Board to make some kind of formal recommendation, that would be passed on to them for discussion or a formal decision for the public to see that they did in fact, give full consideration to the issues.

Jeff Harris said that in the Subdivision Regulations Statutes that they have to go through a public hearing process; and only the Commission can hold that public hearing. The Planning Board will make a formal recommendation to the Commission and they will be required to hold a second public hearing before any action can be taken.

Wade Fredenberg with Fish Wildlife and Park asked how many issues still need to be resolved.

Jeff Harris said that they would probably start with the easier ones first and that the controversial issues with setbacks, floodplain issues and extreme fire hazard areas would be last.

Chairman Brenneman said that he is expected the Planning Board to vote yes or no on everything that is sent to them. He then said that what he is expecting is for the Planning Board to wait until they have gotten through all the issues, before sending it back to the Commission to hold the public hearing.

Wade Fredenberg said that the idea that whatever state law is, that is essentially only what we want to do, is like telling your kids that their standards are whatever the law requires and that they don't have to do anything beyond that. He then said that he believes in Flathead County that there are certain amenities, such as water where simply following state law is not enough. Fredenberg then said that he feels a lot of the public feels the same way, and also thinks we seriously need to look at going beyond state law, if we want to have the kind of environment that we all treasure.

Linda Christensen spoke in regards to setbacks and floodplain regulations and stated that she feels it would be prudent to leave in the setback requirements, and consider that the Planning Board would make a revision to what is in there; with them not being set in stone.

Brent Mitchell spoke about the things that were left out of the Interim Subdivision Regulations in regards to setbacks and stated his concerns that if this goes on for a couple of years without the regulations being complete to put into place. He then spoke about access to high fire hazard areas and said that they need to look at putting these areas of concern back in. Mitchell then said that if something is not done in the Interim Subdivision Regulations, that they are going to have real problems out there.

Chairman Brenneman said that during the Interim Subdivision Regulations that the Commission can and hopefully will require two accesses to high fire hazard areas; that they would evaluate subdivisions based upon welfare and safety.

Commissioner Lauman stated that it is his hope and desire for the Subdivision Regulations to be done within a couple of months, and that it won't take years to complete them.

Jeff Harris said that other than the riparian setbacks anytime the commission during their workshops pulled out a provision it was replaced with the pre-existing provision, if it complied with state law. He then said his feeling in talking with the Planning Board is that they don't want to spend two years working on the regulations that they want to complete them as fast as they can.

Ed Heger, spoke about setbacks and floodplain requirements, and stated that he feels we would be better served by having more restrictions. He then said if the regulations are not restrictive that you create a window and give people an incentive and that we need to work through and make something that is fair and balanced. Heger then commented on lawsuits and said that he feels the Commission can come up with regulations that exceed state regulations.

Tom Gorton stated that the Subdivision Regulations should have been done 15 to 20 years ago, and that the valley is becoming a total disaster. He spoke about safety issues in regards to development around his home and said that the safety issue has been totally ignored, with them not being able to breath with all the dust particulates in the air. Gorton then said that DEQ has fined Flathead County \$29,000.00 and he questioned if the Commission is interested in keeping the Flathead Valley beautiful. He then said that the subdivision that is being built by him has contaminated wells and that no one notified him of the contamination. Also stated was that the hillside that is being developed has a spring, and that it says in preliminary plat requirements that they could not drain any water shed, that there had to be a holding area for evaporation. Gorton said that the developer put in a culvert to drain the water shed down to Lake Blaine Creek. He then said that he is trying to get information from any agency that will help him, and that he is always referred back to the County Commissioners where they get no satisfaction.

Page 4-52 4.7.19 Roadway Improvements All road improvements including gravel, pavement, curbs, gutters, sidewalks and drainage systems shall be constructed in accordance with the specifications and standards prescribed in the Flathead County Road and Bridge Departments "Minimum Standards for Design and Construction Manual".

(b) An unpaved road (private or County) that provides access from the subdivision to the paved County or State roadway and the combined Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volume is 200 daily trips or more will be paved per the methodology identified in Section 4.7.9 and improvements made pursuant to needed improvements identified in the Traffic Impact Study.

Tom Gorton then said that any road that has over 200 cars per day that DEQ warrants paving of the road. He then said that the Commissioners are approving final plat on county gravel roads when we already have 450 cars a day on the gravel road.

Chairman Brenneman then asked if he was suggesting that it be changed from 200 to a lower number.

Noel Gorton then said they would like the Commissioners to follow the Montana Clean Air Act.

Tom Gorton then said that the only way the Creston area could be destroyed was by a farmer selling his prime agricultural land. He then questioned when zoning in the Creston area was changed. Gorton then asked Peter Steele if final preliminary plat has not been passed and with DEQ saying that the county is in violation of the Montana Clean Air Act, if the County Commissioners can shut the subdivision down if a condition is not being complied with.

Peter Steele said that preliminary plat conditions state that you must comply with DEQ requirements, and if you are not complying then you won't get final plat.

Tom Gorton then asked if the county was not complying with the DEQ conditions what would happen.

Chairman Brenneman said that in our old regulations that we didn't have any kind of formula to uses to tell a developer how much road had to be paved; that frequently they could get the developer to pave the road. If the developer didn't agree to pave and they sued the county they did not seem to have a good case, and with these new regulations the Commission will now be able to ask the developer to pave.

Tom Gorton then said that he sees the developers getting away with whatever they want; with them threatening to sue. He then asked why the people that live on county gravel roads have to pay to maintain the county roads.

Chairman Brenneman said that the legislature has levied an amount that can be levied without asking for voter approval of an increased levy, and that the levy has to be specific to public safety. He then said that road districts require a petition and they would have to get 60 percent of the people in the district to petition. Brenneman then said that County Commissioners are told hold much money they have to use on roads, which is about \$75.00 per household.

Tom Gorton then said that he hopes Peter Steele, Jeff Harris and Chairman Brenneman would be at the meeting on Monday in regards to Mennonite Church Road.

Charles Lapp spoke in regards to being more stringent than state law and stated that written findings are directly attributable, that they have to have a scientific study for the basis of the conclusion. The written finding must also include cost to the community that is directly attributable to the proposed local standard or requirement. Lapp then quoted MCA 76-3-210. Subdivisions exempted from requirement of an environmental assessment.

- (a) a Growth Policy adopted pursuant to chapter 1;
- (b) zoning regulations pursuant to [76-2-201](#) or chapter 2, part 3; and
- (c) a strategy for development, maintenance, and replacement of public infrastructure pursuant to [76-1-601](#).

Lapp then said if a subdivision falls under one of these regulations, they do not have to do an environmental assessment. He then said the Subdivision Regulations say that a requirement for preparing Section 2, impact criteria of the environmental assessment may be waived, when all the following conditions are met. He then said this is an example where state law allows for one thing and the Subdivision Regulations don't allow for it.

- (a) A planning board established pursuant to chapter 1 may exempt a proposed subdivision within its jurisdictional area from the requirement for completion of any portion of the environmental assessment if:

(i) the subdivision is proposed in an area for which a Growth Policy has been adopted pursuant to chapter 1 and the proposed subdivision will be in compliance with the Growth Policy; or
(ii) the subdivision will contain fewer than 10 parcels and less than 20 acres.

(b) When an exemption is granted under this subsection (2), the planning board shall prepare and certify a written statement of the reasons for granting the exemption. A copy of this statement must accompany the preliminary plat of the subdivision when it is submitted for review.

(c) If a properly established planning board having jurisdiction does not exist, the governing body may grant exemptions as specified in this subsection (2).

Lapp also spoke about definitions in regards to a dwelling unit duplex that says they are on separate lots. He then said he didn't feel that it was right, that you can have a house that is classified as a dwelling unit upstairs and downstairs.

Jeff Harris then explained the difference between a townhouse and a duplex. Harris said that with a townhouse you essentially purchase the footprint of the building that it is a separate lot and with a duplex you typically buy a lot, so there is a common wall. If you had the footprints inside a common area and even if there were two units, it would still be a townhome.

Charles Lapp said that he follows that, but that it is backwards from the City of Kalispell.

Charles Lapp then said that he feels the off site road improvements are fine, but stated if you are going to use 510 that you have to use the criteria set up for 510; that you can't use the direct impact where you add up the vehicle trips, that there is a whole lot more to that. He then said something more needs to be added than just saying that you are going to add 80 or 100 vehicle trips per day.

Jeff Harris said that what he is referring to is impact fees that are directly attributable to road improvements, so there is not the requirement to set it up as an impact fee, but that there needs to be a way to realistically and fairly determine what the impacts are. He then said that what they have linked that to is a formula, based on a percentage of impact which is tied to the traffic impact study, which will identify the impacts from a proposed development. He then said that the formula has been tested several times and seems like a fair and simple way to determine what impact this will cause and what they should be directly accountable for, and that the developer would be responsible for a percentage of the road.

Charles Lapp said that the regulations say the whole road.

Jeff Harris said that it is the proportion of the road that is attributable to their impact. He then said that the option would be that they would not require that they pave the whole road.

Charles Lapp references Page 4-52 in regards to roadway improvements under section 4.7.18.

Jeff Harris said that the final piece of the puzzle as they are laying out the draft regulations is that if a developer wanted to pave the whole road then there would be the pay back provision; late comers fees.

Charles Lapp then said that it says if you add more than 200 vehicle trips per day then the road will be paved.

Chairman Brenneman said that it would be paved per as defined in 4.7.9.

Charles Lapp then said that you would be back to where do you pave from what point.

Chairman Brenneman said that it is a weakness in the plan.

Charles Lapp said that he doesn't like impact fees, and that people are realizing that the impact fees are not going to fix their roads; that impact fees only fix certain things, whereas in 5.10 it fixes your road.

Page 4-52 4.7.18 Roadway Improvements (c) All roads will be paved when a proposed subdivision is located within any Air Pollution Control District established by the Flathead City-County Health Department.

Jeff Harris said that portion came out of the existing regulations.

Chairman Brenneman said that it is an area that has been identified as a non attainment area, based upon studies that have been done on particulates.

Charles Lapp said that his concern was that it said "all roads", and that some of the others things they have talked about a logical route from where the subdivision is from a county road or state road and the regulations say all roads.

Chairman Brenneman said that one of the things that DEQ is looking at with the road violation, is if the county has regulations that don't address air quality in any way. He then said then the fine would probably be \$10,000.00 per day, so that is the reason we need to address air quality in these regulations.

Noel Gorton said that it seems that has already been addressed by the Clean Air Act of the State of Montana.

Chairman Brenneman said that the Clean Air Act has not been defined by the Supreme Court, as to whether it is self executing or whether it requires legislative action by the governing body.

Noel Gorton then asked if a subdivision that has been given final plat approval on a gravel road that has more than 400 cars per day, would be legal for the Commissioners to approve.

Peter Steele stated that he would have to look at the Clean Air Act. He then said for it to be enforced it would have to be enforced by who? He then questioned if the DEQ was going to come after the county for approving a subdivision.

Noel Gorton said that she thought that the Clean Air Act that is in place was a guideline for everyone; so if you approve a subdivision on a gravel road that will increase the traffic to 400 cars per day, can the Commissioners legally pass a subdivision on that road.

Chairman Brenneman then asked if she was talking about the Clean Air Act or the Montana Constitution.

Noel Gorton said that she is talking about the one that the county is fined for right now.

Chairman Brenneman said that it was not the Clean Air Act, that it is a violation of MCA that says: that you cannot authorize the use of a street or parking lot if such use causes dust. He then said that it implies a couple of things in that you can un-authorize the use of a parking lot or street, which they cannot do.

Charles Lapp then asked about the latecomers agreement on Page 4-A45 in Appendix H, in regards to the six year time limit, in which he feels should be changed. With people buying land on speculation on these newly paved roads and holding it for six years and then selling it.

Charles Lapp also spoke about driveways in 4.7.7 Lots (e) in which he feels the 5 percent should be taken out.

Page 4-41 4.7.7 Lots (e) Each building site shall be able to be accessed by a minimum 12 foot wide driveway with a maximum 10 percent slope and a maximum 5 percent slope for the initial 20 feet from the primary access road to the lot.

Charles Lapp then said that he feels it is a good idea to adopt the Interim Subdivision Regulations and then spoke about the fire map needing to be updated.

Fred Hodgeboom questioned if the ten vehicle trips is for every single family resident.

Jeff Harris stated that it comes out of the IT (Institute Transportation) Manual that is published every year and that the average is 9.6 to 10 vehicle trips per day. He then said that this is in the existing regulations and has not changed, that commercial uses have changed.

Russ Swindall questioned why larger subdivisions are being put in and paving is not being required.

Chairman Brenneman said that recently they have had very good luck with developers who are putting in larger subdivisions and are stepping up and offering to pave, feeling it is the right thing to do.

Russ Swindall then asked if it could be required.

Chairman Brenneman said that they have to comply with the regulations of state law.

Jeff Harris said that it is difficult to require someone to go beyond what the direct impact is to the road, that they can only ask under 510.

Charles Lapp then spoke about a problem he has run into with offering to pave a road, in which he did in Lakeside and now has homeowners wanting him to rebuild their driveways and build turn around's that they never had before. He then said that it is not as easy as saying we will pave the road.

Pat Arnone questioned if there were any guidelines for dust abatement, and then spoke of the problems they have encountered with a road that was oiled; with the road now needed graded because of all the ruts and holes in it. She then asked if this would be a county problem or if the subdivider needed to take care of it.

Chairman Brenneman said that is a problem they are having when only dust abatement is required.

Noel Gorton said that it all goes back to infrastructure.

Sally Janover spoke about storm water runoff, in which she said needs to be taken into consideration with development. She then said that she is a victim of development with changes that have altered the flow of water on her property. Janover then questioned the setbacks on streams and lakes and asked that it be explained to her.

Jeff Harris said that he truly believes that the county wants to improve water quality and that the stream setbacks received the most comments, and because of that it was returned to the Planning Board.

Sally Janover then said that you think by compromising on it you will allow these subdivisions to go ahead.

Chairman Brenneman said that they could not find the language for site specific considerations they felt were necessary, and are hoping that the Planning Board, with creative input from the public will come up with a solution. In light of that they need to get these done and will protect water quality in the interim.

Commissioner Hall said that it was returned back to the Planning Board and not taken out; that they have not decided what they are going to do yet. That one requirement for a blanket statement will not work, and the way it is now is too restrictive and would be considered a taking and they would end up in court.

Mayre Flowers said that the guidance that was presented by FWP spoke about draft regulations that had variations for sight specific setbacks that seemed fairly reasonable when she looked at them. She then suggested that we follow the strategy that Whitefish has taken in adopting interim regulations as a place holder. To include right now the floodplain and the streamside setbacks as proposed by Fish Wildlife and Parks would be a good interim step for the for the next couple of months, and would bring people to the table to work on them.

Charles Lapp commented on stream and river setbacks and stated that there was never anything in there about steam setbacks, except for a proposed deal. He then spoke about subdivision variances that he feels need to be looked at more in regards to hardships.

Page 4-7 4.1.6 Subdivision Variances The subdivider may request a variance from design standards set forth in Section 4.7 (Subdivision Design Standards) of these regulations when, due to the characteristics of land proposed for subdivision, strict compliance with these standards would result in undue hardship

and would not be essential to the public welfare. A variance shall not be granted if it would have the effect of nullifying the intent and purpose of these regulations. The Commission shall not approve a variance, unless it finds that all of the following are met:

- (a) Due to the physical surroundings, shape or topographical conditions of the property involved, strict compliance with the regulations will impose an undue hardship on the owner. Undue hardship does not include personal or financial hardship, or any hardship that is self imposed.

Fred Hodgeboom questioned why the variance was tied to the characteristics of the land.

Jeff Harris said that the Commission and Planning Board grant variances all the time. That there are times when they look at a situation and something will come up where they might have to move a road to save a tree.

Russ Swindall said that zoning needed to be fixed 20 years ago, but wasn't and that is why we are where we are right now. He then stated that the Subdivision Regulations should not carry the power of zoning, that any kind of riparian setback that is being talked about is a zoning issue and should be addressed that way. Swindall then said that the county should step up to the plate and fund a portion of the setbacks in order to keep the Flathead River a wildlife corridor. He then said to back door it this way will do nothing but cause lawsuits and that this is the wrong document to have setback requirements.

Jeff Harris then quoted MCA 76-3-504: Subdivision Regulations -- contents

- (1) The Subdivision Regulations adopted under this chapter must, at a minimum:
 - (g) prescribe standards for
 - (i) the design and arrangement of lots, streets and roads

That states that the setbacks have to be in the Subdivision Regulations and that zoning deals with the types of uses that are compatible. They deal with a secondary issue of densities. Zoning is use based and subdivision is parcel based, with a real distinction between the two. Planning does zoning where there is zoning and subdivisions comply with zoning. He then said that Subdivision Regulations typically don't regulate use except in the floodplain.

Commissioner Hall stated that they deal with this on a day to day basis with the public that is passionate on both sides.

Russ Swindall said that he would not be willing to sell his land, but to buy his right to build within 200 feet of the river he would look at.

Mayre Flowers spoke in regards to:

Page 4-52 4.7.18 Roadway Improvements - wants to go back to the 100 trip level

Page 4-53 (f) (i) (h) An assessment of the traffic impacts attributable to the development. If the level of service on the roadways and intersections is not impacted and maintains a minimum level of service "C", no improvements are required.

Mayre Flowers stated that she feels the level of service should not be decreased with growth not paying its way, with the county residents having to pay to keep at the current or better level as the standard.

Mayre Flowers then spoke about Cluster Division Standards that have been sent back to the Planning Board, that she feels should be left in for the Interim Subdivision Regulations; with it being an important tool and by having the increased density it benefits the public by having permanent deed restrictions on land.

Jeff Harris explained that clustering provisions only apply to zoning areas and have been sent back to the Planning Board. In unzoned areas either an individual is going to cluster or not, that they can't force anyone to cluster and they don't have any incentives to make them cluster; that it is totally up to the developer in unzoned areas.

Mayre Flowers then spoke about county parks where state law designates that parkland and particularly the set aside parkland should be for public benefit. She then said that she feels that needs to be clarified in the regulations, that the set aside money that comes from park dedication is used for public parks and not to support homeowner's parks that might not be public.

Mayre Flowers then said that there should be parking on all county roads, including subdivision roads. It was then stated that virtual gated communities are created when you don't allow parking on county roads.

Mayre Flowers then said that one of the areas that our current Growth Policy is weak in, is the provision for winter ranges, not to build in a density of more than one unit per 20 acres; which she feels should be reflected in the Subdivision Regulations.

Mayre Flowers then said that she feels access issues have been poorly addressed in the Subdivision Regulations. That in the 1987 Growth Policy there was a goal that says: suitable public access shall be provided to all rivers and lakes in the county to assure boating and recreational access for the general public. She then said that it means we have points of access that are retained with the park regulations saying, they are to be considered prime park sites when you can negotiate it and that we need to set standards that as lands are subdivided that we create access points every mile or two, that would set a standard that will provide access to public lands as lands are subdivided. Flowers then spoke about the connectivity of the trail systems when subdivisions are built with there being subdivisions that are not allowing access through.

Jim Sappington questioned why we use regulations and restrictions to solve problems rather than incentives. He then said that it seems like the goal for many is that they want to regulate and restrict something, rather than giving people incentives for doing the right things. Sappington then said restrictions basically mean that you are asking a particular person to give up something for the benefits of many, and why not offer some kind of incentive, if that is what the goal is. He then said if the people of the Flathead Valley really feel the need to have setbacks for the area, then why not step up to the plate and pay for it. Sappington then said when the wild and scenic rivers went in the North Fork the landowners were compensated for the loss.

Loyal Chubb spoke of his concerns with dead end roads in regards to the ad run in the newspaper, and questioned if the county planned on building any more county roads to connect some of the roads to take care of the concerns.

Chairman Brenneman stated that the authenticity of the ad that was referred to was placed in regards to fire safety with not having access out. Brenneman then said that they are going with the regulations they have had for the last 20 years and have sent the high fire hazard areas back to the Planning Board until more public input is received.

Commissioner Hall then said that as far as the county building more roads; that he can't see the county going out and purchasing land for a right-of-way.

Loyal Chubb said that it seems like it is the counties duty to build more roads with all the expansion everywhere. He then said that there is a multiple track going from the Canyon to Whitefish and if that access is blocked, he questions where the second road out is if the track is blocked.

Chairman Brenneman said that BNSF has the authority for their right-of- way and that they have a lot of control.

Loyal Chubb said that the City of Columbia Falls was trying to get a road up in that area for a second road out over to the North Fork and questioned if the city was still trying to do that.

Commissioner Hall said that the road is on Forest Service land and is a jeep trail.

Chairman Brenneman said that they as a Commission try not to abandon a road that would preclude the possibility of building a road.

Loyal Chubb said that any roads that go that way are Forest Service roads and that the county would have more ability than an individual to get a right-of-way.

Dan Casey a Wildlife Biologist spoke about setbacks and stated that he whole heartily would like to see incentive based conservation, rather than regulation based regulations. He then said that those who would like to look for more creative solutions are in a bind with property becoming less and less affordable with no regulations having effect on the value of the lands. He then said that when people talk about a threat of lawsuits that there is no reason for the county to be more specific than state law, that there is no reason for the state to be more specific than federal law, and that we have chosen as a state to do some specific things and that we have the same opportunity to do that in Flathead County.

Bill Myers then said that there is no such thing as unzoned property now.

Jeff Harris then said he didn't think so.

Noel Gorton said that she was grateful to have a forum and be able to give an opinion and to speak, and that the developers here tonight want to do the right thing. She then asked that a number be provided for the public to call when rules are disregarded.

Charles Lapp spoke about parks within subdivisions and said that the regulations only refer to the public in one place; parks to serve the subdivision in close proximity to the proposed subdivision ultimately will only serve the individuals that live in the subdivision. He then said that maybe that was a flaw in state law, but actually the way it is written is so those that live in the subdivision will have somewhere to go and recreate, and in order for it to become public the county has to take it over with maintenance and insurance needs. Lapp then said that the way this is set-up is actually for the people that live in the subdivision.

Commissioner Hall said that they realized that in the past they have not dealt with getting access to waterways for the public and they will now never catch up. He then said that they recently approved a subdivision on Church Slough with Dennis Carver that through the Weed & Parks, FWP they now have a beautiful access and we need more of those.

Robert Spoklie said that the very same thing was discussed 35 years ago with the Commission, and it was agreed upon at the meeting then in the early 1970's, that when the park was put in the area on the 160 acres, that it would keep those people from driving to another park and that it was not specifically for the area, but that the ball fields and playground equipment everyone came in to use. He then said that he was in on the one on Jensen Road and that the county has turned around and sold the park because of non use and have used the money elsewhere.

Commissioner Hall said that several parks that have been dedicated in the past in subdivisions are just weed patches now.

Fred Hodgeboom said that the first set of proposed regulations that came out, said that you could not have a subdivision in a high fire hazard area; unless you had two ingress's and egress's and that it could not be the same road. He then said that there isn't always an option.

Chairman Brenneman said that made a lot of sense, because there are situations where you certainly don't want to set up a second access, where you come down 100 yards and loop back into the same access.

Jeff Harris stated that the language is no longer in the regulations.

Shanna Floyd spoke about water supply systems in which she said that she was a land owner in a water challenged area and feels the standards needs to be strengthened, to ensure adequate water is available for proposed and existing development. Floyd then said that what she has learned from DEQ is that they don't address an accumulative effect.

Page 4-54 4.7.22 All water supply systems required by the Commission shall meet the minimum standards of Flathead County, the Flathead City-County Health Department and Montana Department of Environmental Control.

Provision of evidence that water supply is adequate in water quality and quantity for proposed and existing development shall be provided prior to preliminary plat approval.

Page 4-54 4.7.22 (b) (c) asked that language be changed to "shall" instead of "may" require community water systems.

Pat Arnone thanked the Commission for adopting the regulation that public notices be posted in regards to dates of meetings.

Fred Hodgeboom questioned why parkland dedication provisions exempting small subdivision was stricken from the Subdivision Regulations.

Jeff Harris said that there was a change in the statute that says that you cannot require parkland in cash of lieu for minor subdivisions, and in this legislative session it was changed to say that governments can charge for minor subdivisions. It was recommended to the Commission that it be included for minors because Parks & Rec's needs the cash. The bill that allows this to happen will not go into effect until October and he did not catch this; so when it will be legal to do so it will be brought back for consideration.

Fred Hodgeboom said that the land owner to provide a cradle to support this payment that is going to be required is over the top for one or two lots.

Fred Hodgeboom questioned who would get the conservation easement on 4.7.7, with the county prohibiting any road work. He then said he feels this is an arbitrary thing and is a taking; same thing as a setback only worse when you are requiring the landowner to donate a bunch of land for public purposes without compensation.

Page 4-41 4.7.7 Lots (g) where portions of a subdivision abut a river, stream or lake, all abutting areas which contain slopes of 30% or greater shall be protected via the placement of a conservation easement or restrictive covenant. The construction of any dwellings, buildings or other structures, road work or major vegetative clearance shall be prohibited.

Chairman Brenneman said that someone who is in the business of holding conservation easements would need to be found.

Jeff Harris stated that this came from the existing Subdivision Regulations.

Fred Hodgeboom then asked if the county was enforcing this.

Fred Hodgeboom then asked about the donation of a right-of-way or easement along every ditch, stream or right-of-way and questions to whom is it deeded. He then said this was another taking.

Jeff Harris stated that MCA 76-3-504 in regards to ditch easements is a requirement. He then said that it is in state statutes and that the county needs to address it.

Fred Hodgeboom then spoke about the eight foot to shallow ground water and questioned what the impact of going from five feet to eight feet would be.

Linda Christensen then said that she feels it should be 15 feet.

Chairman Brenneman said that the recommendation from Yellow Bay, which is the best scientific authority we have is 10 feet.

1:30 p.m. Commissioner Brenneman to LEPC meeting @ OES
2:00 p.m. Commissioner Brenneman to Health Board meeting @ Earl Bennett Bldg.

At 5:00 o'clock P.M., the Board continued the session until 8:00 o'clock A.M. on June 29, 2007.

FRIDAY, JUNE 29, 2007

The Board of County Commissioners met in continued session at 8:00 o'clock A.M. Chairman Brenneman, Commissioners Hall and Brenneman, and Clerk Robinson were present.

NO MEETINGS SCHEDULED

At 5:00 o'clock P.M., the Board continued the session until 8:00 o'clock A.M. on July 2, 2007.

The following are the claims for the month of June, 2007.

VENDOR NAME	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
2M COMPANY INC	VALVES	\$379.74
A-1 ACTION PRINTING	BROCHURES 4000	\$655.00
A-1 VACUUM & JANITORIAL SUPPLYS, INC	BAGS	\$14.50
ABC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	COPIER MAINTENANCE	\$1,041.25
ABC COMMERCIAL STORAGE	JUNE STORAGE RENT #11	\$50.00
ABLE BODY SHOP INC	VEH#1676 PARTS&LABOR DUE	\$4,041.26
ACME CHEMICAL TOILET RENTALS	PARTAPOTTY MAY 05-31	\$48.00
ADAMS GUY	REFUND REFUSE 0414848	\$74.75
ADAMS, KIRBY	PERDIEM EDUCATION MSLA	\$127.00
ADVANCED FENCE INC	FENCING	\$4,500.00
ADVANCED REFRIGERATION & APPLIANCE	LINING	\$95.00

AEGIS ENGINEERING INC	ANALYSIS CRTHSE	\$530.80
AFLAC	PP#13 PREMIUM (AFTER TAX)	\$2,151.68
ALAMON TELCO INC	REFUND MTR VEH	\$103.75
ALAN GUTTMACHER INSTITUTE	SUBSCRIPTION1 YEAR	\$45.00
ALBRITE, EDWARD A	JUROR FEES	\$31.40
ALEXANDER, SUMMER	JUROR FEES	\$21.70
ALLAN, DOUG	JUROR FEES	\$77.16
ALLEGIANCE BENEFIT PLAN MANAGEMENT	PP12 FLEX CHLD DED	\$18,923.24
ALLISON, PEG L.	REIM POSTAGE	\$94.00
ALLTEL	CELL CHGS	\$727.04
ALPINE BUSINESS CENTER	AOA JULY RENT/ALPINE AUCT	\$4,360.00
ALPINE DIESEL ENGINES INC	EQUIP PARTS	\$166.13
AM EQUIPMENT	WIPER MOTOR ASSY,WIRE HAR	\$396.49
AMAZON.COM CREDIT	LIBRARY MATERIALS	\$172.03
AMERICAN LINEN DIVISION	CREDIT	\$501.76
AMERICAN MOSQUITO CONTROL ASSOC	1 REG MMBRSP	\$120.00
AMERICAN PLANNING ASSOCIATION	MEMBERSHIP FOR APA/HAGEME	\$165.00
AMERICAN PLANNING ASSOCIATION	ANNUAL RENEWAL/APA MEMBER	\$383.00
AMERICAN PRINTING INC	PRINTING RURAL GUIDE	\$895.04
AMERICAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSN	1YR SUB	\$160.00
AMERICAN WEST CHROME INC	RPR CYLINDER	\$2,160.00
AMMANN, LOUISE	JUROR FEES	\$15.88
AMSAN CUSTODIAL SUPPLY	CUSTSUP	\$2,780.74
ANDERS BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	SERVICE AGRMT/MINOLTA	\$3,799.41
ANDERSON, ZACK	JUROR FEES	\$322.75
ANSELL HEALTHCARE	MEDSUP MISC	\$265.05
ANYTIME LOCK & SAFE	FORD 9 KEY	\$1,256.25
APPLIED INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC	HEAVY EQUIP	\$598.00
APS HEALTHCARE N.W.	EAP SVC MAY07	\$1,149.50
ARCHITECTS DESIGN GROUP PC	REDESIGN MTGS	\$630.00
ASKEW, NANCY F	BOARD MEETING MILEAGE (AP	\$30.56
ASPHALT DRUM MIXERS INC	HEAVY EQUIP	\$515.19
ATCO INTERNATIONAL	BOILER MAINT	\$150.60
ATKINSON, JIM	PERDIEM GOV CONF HELENA	\$629.78
AULT, CYNTHIA	MLAGE SHARPE DELVY	\$47.54
AURICH, KEVIN A	JUROR FEES	\$12.00
AUSTIN FUNERAL HOME	VET BENEFIT/R.TESTA	\$250.00
AVCAFE	FCLMT04 LIB MTRLS	\$35.96
AVERY, CHARLENE	JUROR FEES	\$15.40
AVODAH PUBLISHING	ADVERTISING	\$1,000.00
BAD ROCK RURAL FIRE DISTRICT	CONTRSV 2FR 2 AED'S	\$7,346.00
BANZET GERRY AND BAR W RANCH	CHGS TO POST FAIR POSTER	\$500.00
BARDELL, NATHANIEL	JUROR FEES	\$24.13
BARR LABORATORIES, INC	144 PLAN B	\$1,107.79
BASHORE, ERIC J	REDEMP R1624	\$6,054.83
BEAUDION, CAROL M	MLAGE VOL MAY 07	\$11.40
BENEFICIAL	REFUND	\$8.00
BERNA PRODUCTS CORP	MEDSUP	\$640.00
BERRY COMPANY, THE	2 OF 12 INSTALLMENTS YELL	\$118.00
BIG JOHN'S	STRING	\$387.03
BIG SKY MONUMENTS	MISC MONUMENTS	\$560.00
BIGFORK SENIOR CITIZENS	SITE MGMT JUNE 07	\$300.00
BIGFORK WATER/SEWER DISTRICT	WATER SEWER	\$101.00
BIGFORK WHITEWATER FESTIVAL	CLEANING DEPOSIT	\$125.00
BILL'S PLUMBING & STOVE SERVICE INC	EMERGENCY REPAIRS	\$193.00
BIRKY, DR. PERRY	CONTRSV 2 HRS	\$250.00
BLACK MOUNTAIN SOFTWARE, INC	MAINT AGR WINDOWS SAMSID	\$5,458.00
BLACKTAIL REFRIGERATION	HOOK UP COOLER	\$85.00
BLIVEN, MICHAEL	JUROR FEES	\$12.97
BOB BARKER CO INC	FLAMT1 HYGIENE/INMATES	\$729.32
BOEHM, MARTY	CONTRSV MAY 43 HRS	\$3,271.54
BOLT, PAMELA R	JUROR FEES	\$444.00
BOOKS WEST	LIB MTRLS	\$10.80
BORK, ROBERT	MLAGE MAY 07	\$5.85
BOWDEN, BRETT B	JUROR FEES	\$55.82
BOWERMAN, BENJAMIN M	JUROR FEES	\$31.40
BRADLEY, DOUGLAS	REFUND DOUBLE PAYMENT	\$66.00
BRADLEY, ZACK F	JUROR MILEAGE	\$24.25
BRANCH, MARGARET L	JUROR FEES	\$24.13
BRASS & BULLETS	LEUPOLD SCOPE AND RINGS	\$2,199.00
BRENNEMAN, JOE	REIM TRVL EXPENSES	\$144.11
BRESNAN COMMUNICATIONS LLC	JV SVC	\$51.00
BROKERS NATIONAL LIFE	PP12 PREM PRE TAX	\$3,131.37
BROWN, MARGARET L	MED SVC	\$25.00
BRYAN A STIRRAT & ASSOCIATES INC	FLARE INLET SOURCE TEST	\$25,007.69
BRYAN BLOCK SURVEYING	SURVEYING	\$270.00
BUD BLOCKS SERVICE & APPLIANCE	CHECKED AND CLEANED-FOUND	\$45.00
BUECHLE, JAMES	MLAGE REIM BRD MTG ITEMS	\$60.30
BUFFALO HILL FUNERAL HOME	VET BENEFIT/L. HYLTON	\$2,300.00
BUILDING CODES BUREAU	BOILER INSPEC	\$66.00
BURKE, JIM	SPACE RENTAL-BUS PARKING	\$50.00
BURTON, JAMES H.	SURVEYING 06/03-23/07	\$2,925.00
BURTON, VICTORIA S	JUROR FEES	\$12.00
BUSHBY, RUTH	JUROR FEES	\$21.70
BYRD, BARBARA	JUROR FEES	\$51.94
C W NIELSEN MFG CORP	SERVICE BARS AND RIBBONS/	\$736.26
CAMPBELL, RAEANN L	REIM	\$537.90
CARDINAL DISCOUNT SUPPLY INC	MISC PVC FITTINGS	\$647.01
CARDINAL HEALTH	OPSUP	\$59.83
CAREERTRACK	EXCEL BASICS	\$128.00
CARLISLE, STEVEN J MD	PSYCH SVCS SO	\$1,850.00
CARQUEST AUTO PARTS	WD 40	\$61.11
CARQUEST AUTO PARTS STORES	TRK PRTS	\$7,539.89

CASTLES, FRANK C	JUROR FEES	\$250.00
CBM FOOD SERVICE	JUV MEALS 426 TO 0502	\$27,038.67
CD'A METALS	HEAVY EQUIP	\$150.39
CDW GOVERNMENT INC	VISUAL STUDIO PRO UPGRADE	\$4,107.62
CENTRAL PRE-MIX PRESTRESS CO	PRESTRESS/PRECANST BRIDGE	\$91,406.00
CENTURYTEL	MAY 8TH CHGS JUV DET	\$2,432.20
CENVEO, INC	NUMBER MEAL ENV	\$309.20
CHILD SUPPORT DIVISION	PP12 CHLD SPPT POWELL P58	\$114.46
CHM ENTERPRISES INC	LEASE JUNE 07	\$1,050.00
CHS INC - KALISPELL	PANELS	\$5,825.62
CITY OF COLUMBIA FALLS	MD MAY 2007	\$35.81
CITYSERVICEVALCON	17590 FUEL 1913	\$139,533.32
CIVIC RESEARCH INSTITUE, INC.	SUB RENEWAL/FRAME	\$139.95
CLARK, VALERIE	JUROR FEES	\$53.88
CLARKE, CARLA	FARR TRANSCRIPTION	\$654.75
CLOUD, CLAY E	CLERK CONVENTION TRAVEL	\$271.90
COCA-COLA BOTTLING	CONSUP	\$1,817.40
COLBY, PEGGY	TRAVEL GOVERNORS CONF ON	\$74.00
COLLINS ANNIE I	REFUND MTR VEH	\$106.00
COLLINS, ABBY E	JUROR FEES	\$31.40
COLONIAL LIFE AND ACCIDENT INS CO	PP12 PREM PRE TAX	\$10.50
COLUMBIA FALLS FIRE DEPARTMENT	CONTR SVC	\$3,081.17
COLUMBIA FALLS POLICE DEPT	REIM OT	\$418.58
COLUMBIA MORTUARY	LEVERSON BURIAL	\$250.00
COLUMBIA PAINT & COATINGS	#240418/PAINT/REBUCK	\$231.51
COMSTOR INFORMATION MANAGEMENT, INC	MICROFICHE	\$2,472.62
CONAT, TERRY L	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
CONFEDERATED SALISH/KOOTENAI POLICE	REIM APRIL WAGES FYANT	\$8,929.44
CONNOLLY, NAOMI	CONNALLY BURIAL	\$250.00
CONRAD MAIN STREET LLC	RENT JUNE 07	\$2,790.66
CONRAD, CHARLES	MLAGE MAY 07	\$14.25
CORRIGAN, EDWARD	PHONE CHGS AMBROZUK CASE	\$92.99
CRANS, NANCY	MLAGE MAY 2007	\$90.00
CREATIVE DATAPRODUCTS	OVERDUE NOTICE	\$368.52
CRESCENT ELECTRIC SUPPLY CO	ELESUP	\$2,525.82
CRIMINAL RECORDS	FPRNT	\$170.00
CROSBY, BRIGID	FOOD FOR GOLF TOURNAMENT	\$99.70
CROWLEY, HAUGHEY, HANSON, TOOLE &	PILSCH INTERCHANGE RULE G	\$45.00
CRYSTAL PRODUCTIONS	JUV BOOK	\$8.95
CULLIGAN WATER	SALT	\$2,069.92
D.A. DAVIDSON & CO	FINANCIAL ADVISORY FEES,P	\$13,000.00
DAILY INTERLAKE	TOTAL LABEL USA LLC TX	\$8,041.56
DALEN'S DIESEL SERVICES LLC	SLCK RPR	\$1,738.31
DAVE SMITH MOTORS	TEST LAMP	\$18.15
DAVID, KELLY	REIMB FUEL	\$10.00
DAVIS PIPE & MACHINERY, INC	SUP FAIRGRDS	\$281.35
DAVIS, CAROL D	JUROR FEES	\$14.43
DELL MARKETING LP	LAPTOP BATTERY	\$76,623.36
DENNING, DOWNEY & ASSOCIATES, PC	CONSULTING SVC GASB40	\$400.00
DEPRATU FORD SALES	#5313/SENSOR ASY CREDIT	\$444.87
DEPT OF ADMINISTRATION	SUMMITNET	\$128.00
DEPT OF JUSTICE	AUTOPSY/HEDGES,C	\$950.00
DEPT OF LIVESTOCK	4 RABIES TESTS	\$100.00
DEPT OF PUBLIC HEALTH & HUMAN SRVS	MISC LAB TESTS MAY 07	\$2,666.76
DEPT OF PUBLIC HEALTH HUMAN SVC	VIDEOS	\$6.70
DEPT OF REVENUE	PP12 ST W/H	\$52,151.00
DEPT OF REVENUE	1% MT STATE TAX LKSDE SOM	\$1,440.61
DERUCHIA, TODD C	MLAGE MAY 07	\$26.40
DETTMANN, JAMES V	JUROR FEES	\$12.97
DIAMOND PLUMBING & HEATING INC	LABOR WATER HEATER REPAIR	\$295.19
DICKMAN, DANIEL	PERDIEM TRNG	\$185.38
DIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM, INC	INSTALLATION OF A DATA DR	\$122.05
DIGITAL SCANNING & IMAGING INC	DVD OF B&D CERTS IN ALPHA	\$3,630.22
DIMLER RENEE	REFUND DBLE PYMT	\$52.84
DO NOT USE	PRINTER INK	\$839.83
DO NOT USE	JUROR FEES	\$31.40
DO NOT USE	PRINTER INK	\$112.91
DOELY, WENDY	REIM TRNG	\$27.53
DOLPHIN CAPITAL CORP	SHARP COPIER STAND JUNE 0	\$73.98
DOOLEY CINDY M CPA	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CON	\$3,285.58
DRUMMOND, ROBERT G	PP12 SAMPSON	\$690.00
DTG MAPS	FHCV MAP	\$1,175.55
DUNDAS, MARK H	JUROR FEES	\$45.95
DUROS, LAURA LEE	PP12 CHLD SPPT B PARKER	\$130.50
DUSING, DR JAMES A	JUV PORTION 15% JAN-JUN 0	\$9,000.00
DUSING, PADY CNM	PERDIEM TRNG	\$1,573.40
DVEA PROGRAM	DVCO FEE	\$60.00
EAGLE FLIGHT BUSINESS FORMS	ENVELOPES	\$150.49
EAGLES AERIE 234	PHILLIPS RETIREMENT PARTY	\$300.00
EGGUM, VICKIE	REIMB OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$51.35
EISINGER HONDA	OIL CHG	\$26.95
EISINGER MOTORS	HANDLE	\$1,402.32
ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS INC	FCS PH CAT5E	\$169.99
ELKHORN MOUNTAIN INN	911 TIGER TEAM FIELD TRIP	\$645.21
ELLINGSON PHOTOGRAPHY & VIDEO	VIDEO HEINZ	\$352.00
ELLIOT, RANDI	REIM MLAG	\$171.69
ENDX	MISC TRNS	\$570.00
ENERGY CONTROL PRODUCTS INC	SHERIFF OFFICE WINDOW TIN	\$359.00
ENVIRO-TIRE INC	TIRES	\$1,097.60
EQUITABLE LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY	PP12 PREM UNIT 729435001	\$240.00
EQUITY MANAGEMENT INC TRUST	PP12 GILHAM	\$799.38
ESRI INC	ARC LIC UPGRADE	\$2,910.32
ESTEBAN, ANTOINETTE L	JUROR FEES	\$12.00

EVE, MIKE	BOARD MEETING MILEAGE (APR	\$21.83
EVERGREEN DISPOSAL INC	5412114735 JUNE CHGS	\$9,143.80
EXPRESS SERVICES INC	TEMP SVC	\$3,963.81
FALLIS, TRISTAN PSY/D	EVAL/GREER, BURKE, GRANT	\$900.00
FARMER BROTHERS CO	STATE V FARR DC-06-16 COF	\$59.00
FARR, RICHARDSON	JUROR FEES	\$28.98
FASTENAL COMPANY	HOOK LATCHS	\$324.17
FEDERAL EXPRESS CORP	REPORTS TO HELENA	\$5.42
FERGUSON ENTERPRISES, INC	PVC	\$211.17
FIB WHITEFISH FILING FEES	REFUND	\$8.00
FICKLER OIL COMPANY INC	FUEL MAY CHGS	\$913.32
FIRST AMERICAN TITLE CO	REFUND	\$32.00
FIRST BANKCARD	PRGMSUP	\$8,113.60
FIRST KNIGHT KENNELS, LLC	SAR SEMINAR WS/JW WILL SU	\$1,500.00
FISHER, CLYDE	PERDIEM MLAGE	\$13.35
FISHER, JACQUELINE	JUROR FEES	\$21.70
FISHER, JED S	REIMB LUNCH MEETING	\$74.55
FISHER, SUSIE	PP12 FISHER MAINT PAY	\$300.00
FLATHEAD BUSINESS JOURNAL	SUBSCRIPTON 1 YEAR	\$24.00
FLATHEAD CO TREASURER	LICENSING 2007 TRAILER	\$13.00
FLATHEAD CO WATER & SEWER	HILLCREST WATER	\$411.54
FLATHEAD CREDIT UNION	PP12 CREDIT UNION DED	\$3,456.10
FLATHEAD ELECTRIC CO-OP	EVGRN CHGS	\$26,205.98
FLATHEAD PUBLISHING GROUP	HAZMAT	\$481.25
FLATHEAD TRAVEL SERVICE INC	AIR FARE NICK NYMAN BARJ	\$575.78
FLATHEAD VALLEY CHEMICAL DEP CLINIC	KACEY CROFT	\$3,073.52
FLATHEAD VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	WITHDREW FROM CLASSES/COM	\$36.84
FLATHEAD VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE	ADMIN WKSP	\$168.00
FLATHEAD VALLEY UMPIRE'S ASSOC.	UMPIRE FEES FOR END OF BU	\$6,636.00
FOOD SERVICES OF AMERICA	9040533 BOWLS	\$4,843.31
FORD, LESTER W	JUROR FEES	\$444.00
FRAME, KATHY M	REIMB TRAVEL/JAIL MGMT ME	\$171.50
FREDERICKS, DOROTHY	JUROR FEES	\$79.10
FREITAG, BRIAN	REDEMPR1610	\$5,015.79
FROST, SHERRY	FROST BURIAL	\$250.00
FROWNELTER, TINA LOUISE	REIM AOA PHOTOS	\$111.11
FUGINA, TARA	REFUND DOUBLE PAYMENT	\$98.57
GARDNER RV & TRAILER CENTER	2007 BYUSON GB2430 1J9E33	\$10,387.00
GARDNER, ELIZABETH A	JUROR MILEAGE	\$14.55
GARLOUGH RICHARD	REFUND REFUSE	\$74.75
GE CAPITAL	#9013352962/RICOH COPIER	\$565.60
GIACOMO, THOMAS P	JUROR FEES	\$14.91
GIBSON, CHRISTINE D	JUROR FEES	\$12.97
GILBERTSON, TED	EQUIP PARTS	\$20.92
GLACIER BANK FSB	REFUND	\$6,507.00
GLACIER CLEAN CAR WASH	PRE PAID WASH CARD	\$100.00
GLACIER DENTAL GROUP, PC	FAWCETT	\$51.00
GLACIER MEDICAL ASSOCIATES	M.MCCALLUM COMMUNICABLE D	\$225.00
GLACIER PRE-CAST CONCRETE	OPSUP	\$95.00
GLACIER WHOLESALERS INC	CONSUP	\$2,683.33
GLAXOSMITHKLINE FINANCIAL INC	MEDSUP	\$6,756.70
GLOBALSTAR USA LLC	SERVICE 05/16-06/15/07	\$591.69
GNIADK, STEVE	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
GOLD RUSH CLOTHING COMPANY	JV SHIRTS	\$380.12
GOODE, ROXANNE	JUROR FEES	\$16.85
GOODMAN REPORTING	OPSUP	\$362.80
GOODWIN TILE	SHOWER FLOOR JDET	\$1,300.00
GOVCONNECTION INC	MX4000	\$75.36
GREASE MONKEY	SVC	\$135.87
GREAT AMERICA LEASING	LIGHTING RETRO	\$1,098.94
GREEN, JESSE	PERDIEM TRNG	\$185.38
GRISE, PAUL J	JUROR FEES	\$79.10
GROVE, COBY S	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
GROVES, JOLENE A	JUROR FEES	\$14.91
GUNDERSON BRUCE	TRAVEL MOSQUITO MANAGE WO	\$386.26
GUNLIKSON, REED	JUROR FEES	\$14.43
GUZYNSKI, DANIEL MARK	WITNESS MLGE	\$7.57
GWALTNEY WESLEY	TRVL MAY 07	\$244.98
H&H BUSINESS SYSTEMS, INC	EXCESS COPIES	\$246.48
H&H EXPRESS INC	TRK PRTS	\$123.00
HAGEL, SHARON	JUROR FEES	\$21.70
HALLIBURTON, DAVID	JUROR FEES	\$21.70
HAMISEVICZ, MARY	CONTRSV	\$500.00
HAMPTON INN	PREPAY LODGING	\$73.00
HAMPTON INN	TRNG RETREAT	\$340.85
HANES, JACK	REFUND	\$5.00
HANSON, NORMA E	JUROR FEES	\$395.50
HARBORVIEW MEDICAL CTR	MEDICAL RECORDS WEIMER	\$159.39
HARDESTY, JOHANNA	MLAGE	\$537.39
HARKE, LEOTI	JUROR FEES	\$27.52
HAROLD ELECTRIC CO	HEAVY EQUIP	\$393.27
HARRISON, MARTHA E	CONTRSRV 32 HRS MAY	\$1,152.00
HAUSER, BERT	MLAGE MAY 07	\$303.32
HAY, ARTHUR B	JUROR FEES	\$16.85
HAZLETT, SHERI J RPR	MISC TRNSC	\$504.00
HEALTHCENTER NORTHWEST	MAJOR	\$2,392.00
HEIM, FRED	MLAGE MAY 07	\$6.00
HEINO, BRIAN	REIMB TRAVEL SNIPER SCHOO	\$103.00
HELGESON, DELLA	MLAGE MAY 07	\$10.80
HELTON, JOHN	BOARD MILEAGE (MAY)	\$21.34
HENDERSON, JOAN M	JUROR FEES	\$24.61
HENZELMAN CARL F III & MELISSA	200636856/PROTEST	\$271.30
HERMANN, DAVID	JUROR FEES	\$12.00

HERRMANN EQUIPMENT, INC	HEAVY EQUIP	\$7,667.32
HEUSCHER, SANDY R	JUROR FEES	\$17.82
HEWLETT PACKARD CORP	HP DESIGN 550	\$7,009.00
HIGH COUNTRY LINEN SUPPLY	LAUNDRY SVC	\$1,833.39
HIGH PLAINS PIZZA INC	PIZZA ORDER FOR EMS STRAT	\$136.62
HILLSTEAD TRUST	200652105 DOR ADJ	\$1,779.48
HINDLE, JOSEPH H	JUROR FEES	\$298.50
HINTZE, TIMOTHY A	JUROR FEES	\$24.13
HODGE, DANIEL F	JUROR FEES	\$12.97
HODGES, BONNIE L	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
HOLLINGER, SCOTT	MLAGE	\$21.34
HOME DEPOT CREDIT SERVICES	LATE FEE CHG WILL CTY WAS	\$578.55
HOOPER'S NURSERY AND GREENHOUSES	PLANTS	\$162.49
HOUSE OF CLEAN	30770 LAUNDRY SUPPLIES	\$238.00
HSBC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	SPR FORMS/BANNERS	\$1,156.86
HSBC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$1,407.10
HUDSON, JERREL	MLAGE MAY 07	\$7.20
HULLA, WES	REIM SUPS	\$78.44
HUNGRY HORSE NEWS	1 YR SUBSCRIP	\$33.00
HUTCHINSON, E M	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
HUTCHISON, ALICE F	JAN-MAY 07 CONTRACT SERVI	\$800.00
HUTTON, WILLIAM DAVID	PERDIEM "LEADERSHIP"	\$85.00
HYDROMETRICS INC	PDM CONTRACT	\$3,556.25
IBS INCORPORATED	SHOP	\$163.90
IKON OFFICE SOLUTIONS	MAINT CONT	\$73.25
IN SIGHT ADVERTISING, INC	SVC	\$3,678.00
INGRAM LIBRARY SERVICES	2086560 LIB MTRLS	\$7,620.59
INITIAL IMPRSSIONS EMBROIDERY	UNIFORMS	\$2,886.00
INSTY PRINTS	PRINT PASSES	\$574.95
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	2004/05 FICA AND WITHHOIL	\$74,088.52
INTERSTATE ALARM INC	MONITORING BLUE BLD	\$123.00
INTERSTATE POWERSYSTEMS	HYDR FLD	\$1,926.45
ISTATE TRUCK CENTER	2008 STERLING MODEL LT950	\$90,172.00
J&L RV'S, INC	TONGUE JACK (TRAILER)	\$65.49
J&M TRANSPORTATION SERVICE	TRUCK PARTS FREIGHT	\$24.00
JACOBS, WENDEE	REIM BOOTS	\$49.93
JACOBSON, SHIRLEY	MEDSVC	\$39.55
JANITORS WORLD SUPPLIES	CUSTSUP	\$7,627.25
JENSEN, ELIZABETH	JUROR FEES	\$15.40
JEWELL FUNERAL HOME, INC	BURIAL ELLIS	\$250.00
JIMMERSON, DAN	SRP 2007 MAGIC SHOWS	\$200.00
JOES RADIATOR SHOP	RODOUT, FLUSH RADIATOR	\$170.00
JOHNSON CONTROLS INC	CONTR SVC	\$862.50
JOHNSON MORTUARY & CREMATORY	BURIAL SIMPSON	\$1,050.00
JOHNSON, JACOB J	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
JOHNSON, LARRY M.	REIM TRVL	\$67.75
JOHNSON, STEPHANIE	REIM MLAG	\$17.65
JOHNSON'S UPHOLSTERY	1/2 BID FOR UPHOL DSTRCT	\$1,903.00
JONES & BARTLETT PUBLISHERS, INC	EDSUP	\$103.86
JONES, KEEWATIN R	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
JONES, LORI M	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
JSEC	ADMIN SEM	\$142.50
JTL GROUP INC	ASPHALT	\$22,883.12
KAHN, BEVERLY	JUROR FEES	\$88.80
KALISPELL AUTO PARTS	TRK PRTS	\$4,538.69
KALISPELL AUTO WRECKING	ABANDONED VEH	\$30.00
KALISPELL CITY	800 BLK	\$6,878.12
KALISPELL ELECTRIC INC	WIRING	\$216.23
KALISPELL OVERHEAD DOOR SERVICE INC	PARTS	\$91.40
KALISPELL PARKING COMMISSION	PARKING PERMIT/OLD VAN	\$80.00
KALISPELL POLICE DEPARTMENT	OT	\$282.63
KALISPELL REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER	BOEHM	\$12,998.76
KALISPELL SENIOR CENTER	SITE MNGEMT 2007	\$500.00
KALMONT DISTRIBUTORS INC	KEY CYLINDER	\$767.00
KAMAN INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES CORP	HEAVY EQUIP	\$1,872.49
KAMMERZELL, ANNA	REIM LIC	\$300.00
KAPLAN, ERIC	REFUND	\$20.00
KAR PRODUCTS	TRK PRTS	\$156.75
KARI DODGE CHRYSLER PLYMOUTH HYUNDA	TRK PRTS	\$194.50
KARLIN CHAD & SUMMER	200761954 ADDED TO RE FOR	\$380.55
KAUFMAN, VIDAL, HILEMAN & RAMLOW PC	REFUND	\$8.00
KEEFE COMMISSARY NETWORK SALES	28990J/INDIGENT KITS JUNE	\$437.50
KELLY, BOBBIE	MLAGE FEB MAR APR MAY	\$291.34
KELLY, BRIAN	ESSEX SITE-JUNE	\$210.00
KENWORTH SALES MISSOULA	TRK PRTS	\$381.36
KESSINGER ROGER	200636709 PROTEST	\$1,746.34
KLEMPER, GINA	MLAGE	\$13.58
KMART 7030	MEDSUP	\$24.96
KNAPP, DEBORAH L	JUROR FEES	\$24.13
KOFI RADIO	CARNIVAL ADS	\$1,020.00
KOIS BROTHERS EQUIPMENT CO	14' HEIL DURACCLASS 12.5/1	\$30,920.00
KONE INC	MAINT AGREE	\$2,103.14
KOOTENAI COUNTY SHERIFF DEPT	SERVICE FEES DN-07-20A	\$20.00
KRANTZ, ADELE	REIMB INK CARTRIDGE FOR H	\$34.00
KRAUSE, PAUL	CSWD WITHHOLDING	\$1,618.00
KRUGER HELICOPTER SERVICE	AL KALE/BIG MTN RADIO SIT	\$486.00
KUDER LOUISE E	200706541 VALUED AS SHED	\$45.65
KUNDA, SUSAN	EOMB OMBUDSMAN TRAINING P	\$361.32
KUNTZ, RODNEY M	JUROR FEES	\$254.85
LAISY, WAYNE	MLAGE MAY 07	\$90.00
LAKE FIVE PROPERTIES, LLP	REDEMP R1614	\$856.97
LAKESIDE CHAPEL	SITE MGMT JUNE 07	\$300.00
LAMPSON, CECILE	MLAGE MAY 07	\$15.30

LANDS' END BUSINESS OUTFITTERS	4221655 LOGO FOR RECORDS	\$135.00
LANKTREE GLASS, INC	GLASS RPR	\$919.00
LASALLE TOOL, INC	TOOLS	\$14.85
LAWRENCE RAGAN COMMUNICATIONS INC	1 YR SUBSCRIP	\$22.26
LAWRENCE, PAULETTE	CONTRSV	\$2,622.26
LAWSON PRODUCTS, INC.	#7219920 58414/JOBBER/FRA	\$7,322.88
LC STAFFING SERVICE INC	TEMP SVC	\$8,261.06
LE BLEU, CHERI J	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
LEISTIKO, FRED	911 TRIP LODGING HELENA	\$71.69
LENDERS FIRST CHOICE	REFUND	\$3.00
LES SCHWAB TIRE CENTER #904	VEH #1195 VARBL COILSRNGS	\$649.04
LHC, INC	ENTRY RD CONSTRT	\$120,131.66
LIBERTY NORTHWEST	PP#10&11 MAY 2007 WORKERS	\$62,086.69
LILIENTHAL & SCHUMAN INSULATION CO	INSUL	\$102.18
LINCOLN COUNTY CORONER	2ND CK AS SO CODED THIS I	\$243.00
LIPPINCOTT WILLIAMS & WILKINS	SUBSCRIP	\$272.96
LITTLE, BILLIE J	JUROR FEES	\$71.34
LOCAL GOVERNMENT CENTER	SURVEY	\$18.00
LOCAL TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	TRAINING FORKLIFT/LOADER	\$2,335.00
LOFTIS, KATHY	VET BENEFIT F WESTPHAL	\$250.00
LORENS CARPET CARE	CLN CARPETS	\$400.00
LOWE JOHN	REFUND	\$55.00
LOWE'S	HOES	\$87.85
LYCOX ENTERPRISES INC	CAPTIAL OUTLAY EQUIP	\$59,625.00
LYMAN, JAMIE	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
LYNCH, DAVID	JUROR FEES	\$13.94
M&M COMMUNICATIONS INC	EARPIECE	\$605.00
MACKEY, LOUISE	BURIAL MACKEY	\$250.00
MACKOFF KELLOGG	REFUND	\$40.00
MACO/JPIA	INS COVERAGE 1 MTH 16 VEH	\$1,073.00
MACON SUPPLY	SUTTON DRY CURED BLADE	\$272.25
MAHUGH FIRE & SAFETY, LLC	WILDLAND FIRE PPE	\$2,063.90
MAIL ROOM, THE	POSTSVC	\$11,273.88
MALTA, PATRICK R	JUROR FEES	\$15.88
MARQUARDT, WENDY	MLAGE MAY 07	\$104.13
MARR, KAREN H	OFSUP	\$70.86
MARSHALL, KEVIN	JUROR FEES	\$31.40
MASTER MONOGRAM	EMBROIDERY ON SHIRTS FOR	\$71.50
MAT & MITER, THE	FOAM BOARDS AMBROZUK	\$72.00
MAYER, KATHLEEN	REIMB PRINTING OF COLOR C	\$19.28
MC CORMICK, KEVIN	MLAGE MAY 2007	\$4.50
MC DONALD, JOHN	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
MC GILLEN, BETTY M	JUROR FEES	\$12.00
MCCOY RONALD & RENEE	REDEMP R1621	\$2,036.75
MEADOW GOLD DAIRIES INC	4968370 FOOD	\$930.23
MEAGHER COUNTY EXTENSION	POSTAGE	\$9.35
MERCK & COMPANY INC	MED	\$10,922.00
MICHAELS CONVENIENCE STORE	HVY EQUIP	\$119.50
MICROFILM SERVICE OF MONTANA INC	MICROFILM DAY 113 129	\$560.99
MIDWEST CANCER SCREENING	LAB TESTS APR-MAY 07	\$1,582.90
MIDWEST TAPE	59901 LIB MTRLS	\$2,505.73
MILLER, DORIS I	JUROR FEES	\$12.00
MISS FLORA'S CREATIVE ENTERTAINMENT	SRP ENTERTAINMENT	\$100.00
MISSOULA CO SHERIFF	JUV BOOKING 04/19-30/07	\$2,200.00
MISSOULA INDEPENDENT	3 ADS TIRED OF BEING IN T	\$31.50
MITCHELL, LEONARD C	JUROR FEES	\$93.65
MITCHELL, MAURINE J	JUROR FEES	\$41.10
MITY-LITE INC	TABLES	\$2,295.00
MOBILFONE	JUNE CHGS	\$26.18
MONTANA ACE - KALISPELL	SUPS	\$70.12
MONTANA ASSN OF PLANNERS	MEMBERSHIP FEE MT ASSOC O	\$60.00
MONTANA ASSN-CLERKS OF DIST. COURT	MACDC 07 CONVEN	\$150.00
MONTANA CONSTRUCTION LIEN SERV	REFUND	\$5.00
MONTANA CORRECTIONAL ASSOCIATION	REG DEFENSIVE TACKTICS CO	\$30.00
MONTANA CSED	DRAUSE WITHHOLDING JUNE	\$721.54
MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	REG RUSSELL AND GUNDERSO	\$150.00
MONTANA DES ASSOCIATION	MEMBERSHIP DUES 2007	\$20.00
MONTANA DIGITAL LLC	INTERNET	\$400.00
MONTANA ENVIRONMENTAL LAB LLC	COLILERT TEST	\$138.00
MONTANA HIGHWAY PATROL	MHP AET WAGES	\$3,400.62
MONTANA LAW ENFORCEMENT ACADEMY	COURSE TUITION, LODGING, ME	\$400.00
MONTANA MADNESS CUSTOM EMBROIDERY	NVSR JACKETS	\$55.00
MONTANA MAGISTRATES ASSN	MT MAGISTRATES ASSOC DUES	\$200.00
MONTANA OE-CI TRUST FUND	PP#11,12,13 DEDUCT R&B CE	\$16,287.15
MONTANA ONE CALL CENTER	JUN 07 PHONE	\$2,823.10
MONTANA REFINING COMPANY	ASPHALT	\$233,418.39
MONTANA SENIOR NEWS	AD	\$329.00
MONTANA SKY NETWORKS, INC	DSL 5862 5882 SO	\$75.50
MONTANA SUPREME COURT	COMPLIANCE TRACKER SERV M	\$7,279.71
MONTANA TRANSIT ASSN	BUS ROADEO LADD	\$60.00
MONTANA VEBA HRA ADMINISTRATOR	PP12 HILEMAN	\$289.57
MONTGOMERY, KRYSTAL	JUROR FEES	\$298.50
MONTGOMERY, RICHARD T	CONTRSV 113 HRS	\$4,520.00
MOODY TERRY & STATIA	REFUND APPLICATION	\$478.00
MOON, JOSHUA N	JUROR FEES	\$16.85
MOORE MEDICAL LLC	1251311 MEDICAL SUPPLIES	\$1,283.55
MOORING TAX ASSET GROUP, LLC	MAY 07 REDEMP	\$17,366.32
MOOSE SALOON INC	STATE V FARR DC-06-106 DI	\$72.40
MORBIDITY & MORTALITY WEEKLY REVIEW	1 YR SUBSCRIP	\$98.00
MORRELL, GLENN JAMESON	MLAGE MAY 07	\$14.40
MORRIS, WENDY	JUROR FEES	\$31.40
MORRISON MAIERLE, INC	OTHSUP	\$1,512.97
MORROW DICK	PERDIEM HELENA CONF	\$24.00

MOUNTAIN STATES TACTICAL OFFICERS	7 OFF TRNG REGIST	\$875.00
MOUNTAIN TRADER, INC	OPSUP AD	\$56.00
MSU EXTENSION PUBLICATIONS	MANUAL ORDER	\$727.75
MSU FIRE SERVICES TRAINING SCHOOL	EMERGENCY SERV RESOURCE C	\$165.00
MURDOCH'S RANCH & HOME SUPPLY, INC	SIGNS	\$3,317.11
MWI VENTERINARY SUPPLY	SURSUP	\$84.84
MY LITTLE SALESMAN	#HE55556/SUBSCRIPTION	\$24.95
MYERS, MICHAEL A	JUROR FEES	\$23.64
NALCO COMPANY	COOLER/GENERATOR SUPPLIES	\$1,450.00
NATIONAL ASSN OF LOCAL BOARDS/HLTH	1 YR SUBSCRIP	\$120.00
NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC MAPS	MAINT TOPO SOFTWARE	\$418.60
NCI ENGINEERING	SVC EVRGRN BIKE PATH	\$6,645.37
NELSON, BRANDON	JUROR FEES	\$50.00
NELSON, DIANE	JUROR FEES	\$12.00
NETWORK HARDWARE RESALE	CISCO WS X6148	\$6,683.00
NEWMAN TRAFFIC SIGNS	SIGNS	\$2,346.47
NEWMAN, DR. MICHAEL M.	MILLER D 05/29/07	\$860.00
NIGHTINGALE, DAVID	JUROR FEES	\$66.49
NORCO, INC	SP GAS	\$1,328.04
NOREEN, THOMAS C	JUROR FEES	\$15.88
NORLANDA, INC	REDEMP R1608	\$19,600.56
NORMONT EQUIPMENT CO	13' HIWAY E2500 SANDER, ID	\$12,018.68
NORRIS, NANCY	JUROR FEES	\$51.94
NORTH VALLEY SENIOR CENTER	MAY EXERCISE	\$620.00
NORTHERN ENERGY INC	PROPANE	\$48,043.20
NORTHERN FIRE & COMMUNICATION INC	RPLC JUV CAMERA	\$982.48
NORTHSTAR PRINTING INC	OFSUP LTRHD	\$134.00
NORTHWEST IMAGING PC	WELDELE	\$14.75
NORTHWEST MACHINERY INC	PARTS	\$260.32
NORTHWEST MONTANA HUMAN RESOURCES	CASE MGMT MAY 07	\$8,191.07
NORTHWEST PARTS & RIGGING CO INC	TRACTOR PRTS	\$1,439.73
NORTHWEST PIPE FITTINGS INC	PRTS	\$87.54
NORTHWEST PORTABLES LLC	RENT EQUIP	\$551.00
NORTHWEST TRUCK REPAIR INC	TRK PRTS	\$5,142.83
NORTHWESTERN ENERGY	GAS CHGS	\$3,484.35
NOTTINGHAM, CHARLES R	JUROR FEES	\$27.52
NOVKO KALISPELL	TRK PRTS	\$361.42
NUTTER, JANICE E	JUROR FEES	\$13.46
OFFICE DEPOT	#63230355/OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$222.14
OFFICE SOLUTIONS AND SERVICES	OFSUP	\$5,639.81
OLSON, HENRY HANS	BOARD MILEAGE APR-JUN	\$23.28
OPDYCHE WALLACE	200736718 PROTEST	\$158.25
OREGON DEPT OF JUSTICE	PP122 CHLD SPPT PARSONS	\$223.38
ORIGINAL EGG GAME THE	EGG GAME	\$165.00
ORION INDUSTRIES INC	WHEEL KIT	\$1,362.00
PACIFIC STEEL & RECYCLING	PRTS FOYS BOAT LAUNCH	\$6,702.77
PAGE NORTHWEST	FLAT SHERIFF S&R/JUNE	\$249.93
PAPER CHASE	NIC REPORT	\$134.04
PAPKE, RUSSELL	REIMB TRAVEL HIDTA TRAINI	\$196.24
PARADIGM MANAGEMENT PC	MAY 19-31 EXPENSES	\$70,222.93
PARK BOTTLING	INMATE SODA	\$102.00
PARKER, BILLY	JUROR FEES	\$56.79
PARTY TIME	MISC RECOG DINNER	\$72.00
PATHOLOGY ASSOCIATES MEDICAL LABS	MISC LAB TEST MAY 07	\$1,212.82
PAULSON, JOAN	PERDIEM TRNG	\$220.72
PEARSON EDUCATION	ABD EMRCY & AIRWY & CARD	\$1,662.29
PEEWEEES PORTA-POTTIES	PPS	\$140.00
PENCO POWER PRODUCTS	PARTS	\$1,288.32
PERENNIAL ENERGY INC	THERM MODULE	\$416.33
PETERS, GARY R	JUROR FEES	\$41.10
PETERS, JEROLYN ANN	MLAGE MAY 07	\$85.50
PETERSON, PAULA K	JUROR MILEAGE	\$14.55
PETTY CASH - AOA	FUEL #1913	\$10.17
PETTY CASH - CO ATTY	MAIL	\$89.67
PETTY CASH - EXTENSION	OFFICE NEEDS	\$36.96
PETTY CASH - HEALTH	TRNG SUP	\$56.06
PETTY CASH - LIBRARY	NORMS NEWS/SRP GIFT CERT	\$76.49
PETTY CASH - REFUSE DISPOSAL DIST.	TRASH BAGS,PAPER TOWELS	\$45.15
PETTY CASH - SID OPERATIONS	HOTEL FOR WHITE HIGHT ASS	\$7,352.95
PETTYJOHN'S THE WATER STORE INC	FAIRGRDSWATER	\$223.75
PHOTO VIDEO PLUS	VHS MILLER	\$31.93
PIERCE MFG. COMPANY INC	OVAL GROMMET KIT,REFLEX S	\$112.60
PIERSON DEBBIE	OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$69.43
PIERSON PERFORMANCE EXHAUST	CONNECTOR	\$68.17
PIERSON TRUCK PARTS	TRK PRTS	\$264.01
PINNACLE INVESTIGATION CORP	PRE EMPLOY CHECK	\$163.26
POLENSKY, ROGER	JUROR FEES	\$463.40
PRESLEY DAIVD REVOCABLE TRUST	2006636838 PROTEST	\$3,696.56
PRICE, JOHN D	JUROR FEES	\$13.94
PROTECTIVE PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL	VEST BROOKS	\$553.38
PRUNTY, DAVID ROBERT	REIMB FUEL SW COMMITTEE M	\$42.01
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM	CPILSCH CLAIM 1989 EMPLOY	\$104.63
PUBLIC HEALTH FOUNDATION	49215 EDSUP	\$33.00
PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS ASSOC	1 YR SUBSCRIP	\$110.00
PURDY, ERIKA S.	PP12 CHLD SPPT VICTOR SAM	\$137.50
QDOBA MEXICAN GRILL	LUNCHEON FOR PLANNING OFF	\$203.50
QUICK TICK INTERNATIONAL	TICKETS	\$1,140.48
QUILL CORPORATION	#0897932/SCHOOL PAPER ORD	\$534.60
QWEST	WG 911	\$268.47
RADIO SHACK ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE	RECORDER	\$112.21
RADIOACTIVE INC	COMPUTER	\$1,889.55
RANDOM HOUSE INC	902998000 LIBRARY MATERIA	\$91.20
RAYMOND, LAUREL	PERDIEM HELENA	\$221.16

RBM LUMBER	SAWDUST	\$360.00
READY FREDDY INC	SEPTIC PUMPING	\$600.00
REBUCK, LAURELLA	REIM TRVL	\$486.96
REED, BRADLEY V	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
RELIABLE DISTRIBUTING INC	HVY EQUIIP	\$422.84
REYNOLDS, SHIRLEY A	JUROR FEES	\$28.98
REYNOLDS, THOMAS	REIM GAS HELENA TRNG	\$34.75
RFFIT/DEAN OF VETERINARY MEDICINE	OPSUP TEST	\$25.00
RHODES ROBYN	200764308 ADDED TO RE FOR	\$336.65
RIEBES MACHINE WORKS INC	MACHINE FLYWHEEL	\$889.00
RIMROCK STAGES INC	MAY BUS CHARGES	\$665.00
RINGQUIST SIGNS, INC	BNNERS	\$76.40
RITCHIE RILEY SHOOK TIRE CO	LABOR	\$3,301.50
ROBERT LITTLE CUSTOM CONCRETE	STEPS	\$2,850.00
ROCKY MOUNTAIN IMAGES INC	MISC CLOTHING ORDER	\$961.28
ROCKY MOUNTAIN MECHANICAL INC	JC HVAC LABOR/MATERIALS	\$10,061.00
ROCKY MOUNTAIN TRUCK CENTER	2007 CANCADE PUP TRLR	\$29,000.00
ROELL, KAZAR	JUROR FEES	\$24.13
RON'S ALIGNMENT INC	TRK PRTS	\$110.00
ROSAUERS	1270 FOOD	\$541.55
ROSCOE STEEL & CULVERT	PARTS	\$194.51
ROSE, GARY R	JUROR FEES	\$274.25
ROSSENDAHL, TED	SVC	\$39.55
ROTO ROOTER	SEWER	\$225.00
ROYBAL'S ABBEY CARPET	MAINT	\$2,618.43
RUZICKA, GAIL P	JUROR FEES	\$31.40
SALISH KOOTENAI COLLEGE	POSTAGE	\$4.20
SANDE, NATHAN	BOARD MILEAGE MAY-JUNE	\$29.10
SANDEFER ALAYNA	REFUND	\$2.00
SANDERS, RAY	PERDIEM MLAG	\$6.23
SANOFI PASTEUR, INC	MED SUPPLIES	\$8,383.14
SAPP JR, THOMAS F	OPSUP	\$53.65
SCARFF AUTO CENTER INC	MIRROR	\$81.71
SCHATZ, GREG P	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
SCHELLINGER CONSTRUCTION CO, INC	INGERSOL RAND ROLLER DD13	\$270,021.03
SCHELLINGER SAND & GRAVEL INC	SAND	\$4,841.62
SCHLEGEL & SONS CONTRACTOR INC	OIL-CRESTON	\$1,242.75
SCHMIDT, JOAN, CONSULTING	CONTRSRV	\$6,921.84
SCHOOL DISTRICT #5	PIR	\$24.44
SCRANTON GILLETTE COMMUNICATIONS	1YR SUBSCRIPT	\$45.00
SEAMAN, JANICE	JUROR FEES	\$21.70
SECRETARY OF STATE	NOTARY BOND FOR C JELLAR	\$25.00
SEDON, LINDA P	JUROR FEES	\$22.67
SEKELSKY, JANE M	JUROR FEES	\$15.88
SELBYS	CONTRSV EXCESS CLICKS	\$602.95
SELECT CLEANING	COM CLN	\$200.00
SELECT PORTFOLIO	REFUND	\$14.00
SEVIER, MARY	REIM FOR MAILINGS	\$9.75
SHARE CORP	SHOP	\$2,494.91
SHEFFELS, MARCIA M	MTSBA SYMPOSIUM TRAVEL	\$215.52
SHEPARD'S GLASS INC	MISC MTRLS	\$25.00
SHIMA, JOLENE	JUROR FEES	\$54.85
SHOPKO	BATTERIES,OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$143.89
SIENKNECHT, CAROL	REIM MLAG	\$393.78
SILVERTIP EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS	EVANS	\$309.00
SILVERTIP ENGRAVING	NAMEPLATE	\$10.00
SIVONEN, DAVE D	JUROR FEES	\$15.88
SIX ROBBLEES' INC	TIRES	\$500.52
SKYBERG, RICK	STAMPS	\$124.20
SLIKKERVEER, KAY E	JUROR FEES	\$27.52
SLITERS ACE	LOCK	\$19.49
SMALL, GLENNA L	JUROR FEES	\$21.70
SMIRNOW, DAVID DR.	GRUBBE AUTOSPY	\$1,000.00
SMITH GEORGE	REIMB MILEAGE SITE VISITS	\$120.77
SMITH LILLIAN	REFUND	\$74.75
SMITH, CONNIE G	JUROR FEES	\$19.28
SMITH, PAMELA	JUROR FEES	\$81.04
SMITH'S FOOD & DRUG STORES	EDSUP	\$28.72
SNAP ON TOOLS	JAKE BRAKE ADJ GAGES	\$76.58
SNYDER MARTHA	200763735 VALUE LOWERED A	\$85.92
SOHL, EDWARD	JUROR FEES	\$14.91
SOLID WASTE SYSTEMS INC	SHAFT END	\$1,032.71
SOMMERFIELD, KAROLE	STATE CONGRESS FEES	\$381.82
SORENSEN, SHERMAN J	JUROR FEES	\$23.64
SPECTRA ASSOCIATES, INC.	OFSUP	\$538.65
SPENCER FLUID POWER	HVY EQUIP	\$97.07
SPENCER, HANNA	MLAGE MAY 007	\$27.30
SPOKANE HOUSE OF HOSE, INC	GREASE HOSES	\$1,994.23
SPORTSMAN & SKI HAUS	EQUIP	\$189.99
ST MARIE GRAPHICS LLC	COMPLETE SHERIFF UNIT ON	\$311.25
ST PETER, JANETTE T	JUROR FEES	\$53.88
ST ROSE, RENAY	MED SVC	\$25.00
STANDARD BATTERIES OF SPOKANE INC	BATTERY	\$82.26
STAPLES CREDIT PLAN	OFSUP	\$402.16
STATE BAR OF MONTANA	AD	\$43.00
STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER	DEFENDER FEE	\$242.00
STEPHENS JR, WALTER F.	JUV BLNKS	\$127.11
STINGER, LAWRENCE R	JUROR FEES	\$83.95
STOICK DRUG	ADULT RX APRIL	\$6,138.45
STUBER, DARLENE	JUROR FEES	\$14.91
SUNRISE ENVIROMENTAL SCIENTIFIC	OTHSUP	\$772.02
SUPER 1 FOODS	CONCESSION SUPPLIES	\$445.32
SUPER WASH	BUS WASHES	\$36.00

SURE-WAY SYSTEMS, INC	HAZMAT	\$290.76
SUSSEX HOLDINGS LTD (WILLIAM FOLEY)	200636749 PROTEST	\$97.22
SWANSON, DAVID A	JUROR FEES	\$14.91
SWANSTROM, DELORES G	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
SWISS CHALET EXCAVATING	CF GATE JUNE	\$1,000.00
SYKES PHARMACY INC	OPSUP	\$15.00
SYSCO FOOD SERVICES OF MONTANA INC	346809 FOOD	\$5,306.56
TALLEY, CHERYL	MLAGE MAY 07	\$148.21
TB GRAY, INC	SAWDUST	\$247.50
TENNANT SALES & SERVICE COMPANY	HVY EQUIP	\$6,240.43
THIESSEN, J W	JUROR FEES	\$16.85
THOMAS PRINTING INC	FAIR BOOKS	\$980.00
THOMAS, DEAN & HOSKINS INC	KILA SITE SURVEY	\$8,404.51
THOMAS, RONALD	BIGFORK SITE JUNE	\$2,805.00
THOMPSON, STEVEN	JUROR FEES	\$14.43
THREE RIVERS BANK	OFFICE SUPPLIES FRAME	\$20,246.50
THREE RIVERS EMS	TRANSPORT PATHWAYS-WARM S	\$2,566.40
TIMESHARE TITLE	REFUND	\$12.00
TINKEY RAY	MEETINGS IN BROWNING	\$188.25
TINSETH, LESLIE J	JUROR FEES	\$13.46
TIRE-RAMA SOUTH	TIRES	\$2,356.83
TIRE-RAMA WEST	TIRES	\$5,084.55
TITLAND JR, ROBERT J.	BURIAL TITLAND	\$250.00
TOMARK SPORTS	SOFTBALL SUPPLIES	\$707.63
TONERPORT INCORPORATED	OFSUP	\$201.00
TOTAL SCREEN DESIGN & EMBROIDERY	SHIRTS	\$390.00
TOTTEN, ALDEN	JUROR FEES	\$24.13
TOWNE PRINTER, THE	819 PRINTING	\$439.30
TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY	PREM AUTO HOUSE	\$5,902.84
TREWEEK, DARLENE	JUROR FEES	\$16.85
TRIPLE W EQUIPMENT INC	SUPS	\$53,679.28
TRIPPET'S PRINTING	PADS	\$1,082.00
TRI-STATE TRUCK & EQUIPMENT INC	OTHSUP	\$73.88
TRS	PP#11 M SHEFFELS DEDUCT T	\$935.85
TUCKER MANUFACTURING CO INC	CUSSUP	\$67.59
TUNGSTEN HOLDINGS	REFUND	\$4.00
TYLER, IVAN W	JUROR FEES	\$28.49
TYLER, PETE	MLAGE MAY 07	\$31.20
TYSON, KENNETH A	JUROR FEES	\$444.00
UI CONTRIBUTIONS PROGRAM	2ND QTR 07 UNEMPLOY INS P	\$16,441.99
UNITED WAY OF FLATHEAD COUNTY	PP#13 UNITED WAY DEDUCTIO	\$323.17
UNIVERSITY BOOK STORE	EDSUP	\$74.85
UPS STORE, THE	POSTAGE	\$31.64
US DEPT OF EDUCATION	PP#13 BOBBIE KELLY	\$307.21
US DEPT OF TREASURY FMS	FMS FIRE ITEMS NOT PREVIO	\$5,240.63
US POSTAL SERVICE	PO BOX MARION	\$52.00
US POSTAL SERVICE	POSTAGE	\$329.93
UTILITY SAFEGUARD LLC	OPSUP	\$1,576.64
VALIC	PP12 DED	\$8,102.00
VALLEY GLASS INC	TRK PRTS	\$371.01
VALLEY RECYCLING INC	PAPER SHREDDING	\$11.44
VALLEY WELDERS & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY	CYLINDER RENTAL	\$385.52
VALLEY WIDE CLASSIFIED	FT HEALTH PROMOTION SPCLS	\$174.92
VALVOLINE EXPRESS CARE	FULL SVC	\$33.99
VAN SWEDEN, ROBERT P	MLAGE MAY 07	\$54.00
VANAKEN, LYNETTE DION	EDSUP	\$247.55
VANTAGEPOINT TRANSFER AGENTS-457	PP12 M PENCE DED	\$1,061.68
VARGAS, CONNIE S	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
VAUDT, LINDSEA M.	3 GAMES	\$37.50
VERIZON WIRELESS	PHONE	\$4,756.58
VILLAGE GREENS GOLF COURSE LLC	GREENS FEES FOR GOLF TOUR	\$2,784.00
VIOLENCE FREE CRISIS LINE	SURCHARGE	\$4,011.04
VISA	LODGING	\$671.82
WAGNER, KATHLEEN	BURIAL VINSON	\$250.00
WALHUS, GREGORY	JUROR FEES	\$28.98
WALSTEN ENTERPRISES, INC	BLD RPR	\$594.00
WARDEN PAPER	PAPER	\$93.75
WARNE CHEMICAL & EQUIPMENT CO	TANKS	\$541.50
WARNER, DIANNA L	JUROR FEES	\$127.60
WASHINGTON STATE SUPPORT REGISTRY	PP12 HUGHES	\$1,227.04
WATSON, CHERYL	REIM POSTAGE	\$10.21
WATTS, LYNN	JUROR MILEAGE	\$33.95
WCB PRODUCTS INC	EDSUP	\$356.50
WEATHERFORD ARTIFICAL LIFT SYS INC	HVY EQUIP	\$2,241.25
WEAVER, MARY A	JUROR FEES	\$54.85
WEDDLE, JAMES A	JUROR FEES	\$17.82
WESTCOAST PAPER	TOWELS	\$1,900.13
WESTER, LAURA	REIMB TRAVEL HELENA	\$41.00
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	SIGNS	\$112.32
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	CAMLOCKS	\$56.96
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	JUV SHEETROCK REMODEL PRO	\$15.99
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	CAMLOCKS	\$125.39
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	JUV DURABEAD/REBUCK	\$7.14
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	SIGNS	\$43.90
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	SUP	\$11.49
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	SIGNS	\$11.60
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	SUP	\$105.96
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	SIGNS	\$22.01
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	SUP	\$33.95
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	CAMLOCKS	\$247.59
WESTERN BUILDING CENTER	SIGNS	\$27.65
WESTERN CONFERENCE OF TEAMSTERS	PP#11,12,13 .125 HR BENEF	\$1,588.00
WESTERN ELECTRONICS, INC	RPR SCREEN	\$883.20

WESTERN PLAINS MACHINERY CO	FREIGHT	\$98.99
WESTERN STATES EQUIPMENT COMPANY	HVY EQUIP	\$36,408.14
WESTERN STATES INSURANCE	NOTARY OWENSS	\$195.00
WHITE DAVID	UMPIRE TRNG	\$100.00
WHITE, JORDAN H	REIM TRVL	\$257.41
WHITEFISH AUTO PARTS INC	FILTERS	\$3,947.08
WHITEFISH CREDIT UNION	PP12 CREDIT UNION DED	\$2,333.00
WHITEFISH CREDIT UNION	REFUND	\$20.00
WHITEFISH CREDIT UNION	PP#13 CREDIT UNION DEDUCT	\$2,333.00
WHITEFISH CREDIT UNION	REFUND	\$41.00
WHITEFISH GOLDEN AGERS	SITE MGMT	\$500.00
WILBUR-ELLIS COMPANY	CHEMICAL	\$34,760.00
WINGERT, BLAISE	BIBBER	\$180.00
WINGERT, PETE	REIMB TRAVEL TO HELENA	\$41.00
WINSLOW, ROBERT	JUROR FEES	\$26.55
WISCTF	PP12 HOVILLA M	\$101.16
WOEPPEL, DARIN	TRNG PERDIEM	\$6.00
WOLFHORNDL, ROGER D	JUROR FEES	\$279.10
WOLL, PETE	PERDIEM MLAG	\$12.46
WORKPLACE INC	CONTR SVC 24 HRS	\$14,606.61
WW GRAINGER INC	SHOP TOOLS	\$137.39
WYETH PHARMACEUTICALS	150 LO-OVRAL 28 TAB	\$4,029.27
XEROX CORPORATION	MAINT AGR	\$72.59
XEROX CORPORATION	JUNE 07 BASE CHG	\$28.71
YOUNG, DEBRA K	JUROR FEES	\$283.95
ZEE MEDICAL SERVICE	MEDSUP	\$2,316.24
0706	TOTAL VENDOR ACTIVITY FOR THE MONTH	\$2,532,428.08

-----END OF REPORT-----

Minutes for the month of June 2007, approved this 1st day of July 2007.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

By: /s/Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman, Chairman

By: /s/Paula Robinson
Paula Robinson, Clerk and Recorder

June 2007
(Continued)

PUBLIC NOTICE

The Board of Commissioners of Flathead County did this 1st day of July, 2007, approve payroll and claims for payment in the amount of \$3,905,353.92 for the period beginning June 1, 2007 and ending on June 30, 2007.

The full and complete claim list is available for public view in the Office of Clerk & Recorder, Flathead County Courthouse, Kalispell, Montana. Individual requests for personal copies will be accepted by the Clerk Recorder.

Dated this 1st day of July, 2007.

**BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana**

**By: /s/ Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman Chairman**

**By: /s/ Paula Robinson
Paula Robinson, Clerk & Recorder**

Publish July 31, 2007

PUBLIC NOTICE

The Board of County Commissioners' proceedings for Flathead County for the period of June 1, 2007, and June 30, 2007, are now available for public review in the Office of the Clerk and Recorder, Flathead County Courthouse, Kalispell, Montana, and at the Flathead County Library, 247 First Avenue East, Kalispell, Montana.

Individual requests for personal copies will be accepted by the Flathead County Clerk and Recorder, Flathead County, Courthouse, Kalispell, Montana.

Dated this 1st day of July, 2007.

**BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
Flathead County, Montana**

**By: /s/ Joseph D. Brenneman
Joseph D. Brenneman Chairman**

**By: /s/ Paula Robinson
Paula Robinson, Clerk**

Publish July 31, 2007